

PLEASE
PASS ON
AFTER READING

CHOICE

Further copies
available from
32A ANSELM RD.
LONDON S.W.6.

13th EDITION

AUTUMN

September 1982

WHITE PATRIOTISM RULES O.K.

A COMPOSITE LETTER was recently addressed to the Prime Minister by a group of people increasingly worried about a number of issues which it is felt are not receiving proper evaluation and attention by her Government.

CHOICE was invited to contribute and did so in the following brief, hard-hitting sentences:

1. Multiracialism is increasingly seen to be a disaster, because it is unnatural.
2. Britain is no longer a stable, cohesive nation pulling together and speaking with one voice.
3. The cost of attempting to create multiracial harmony here is penal and increasing, while huge sums of money are being remitted by immigrants to their homelands.
4. Industry is heavily overloaded with unskilled, foreign labour, and State services are all similarly overburdened with it.
5. Aliens from all 5 continents are undercutting our own workers in jobs always previously done by us.
6. Our traditional society has been upturned by alien customs, religions and cultures, which are deliberately being over-accentuated by the Establishment.
7. The Common Law, which hitherto ordered our lives, is no longer operable because homogeneity, common purpose and the Christian ethic are disintegrating.
8. The inverse racism and positive discrimination at the heart of the Race Relations and British Nationality Acts militate powerfully against acceptance of multiracialism.
9. The threat of violence is being used to frighten and blackmail ethnic Britons into compliance with multiracialism. It will not succeed.
10. Multiracial education is divisive and unnatural, particularly since Marxist teaching has already sown confusion and has lowered standards of learning and general behaviour in many of our schools.
11. Inter-ethnic minority strife is adding to black/white disharmony.

other local authorities. Furthermore, please campaign corporately for the removal of the vote from ALL NON-PATRIALS, so that no alien becomes a candidate for local or Parliamentary Elections. It is increasingly evident that foreign ethnic elements with ulterior motives are exercising undue influence in central and local Government, and this must be stopped.

11. Campaign vigorously at every opportunity and at all levels for the early dismantlement of the race industry which increasingly pokes its nose into our lives like some tin-pot, irritating inquisitor. It should never be forgotten that every single member of the Commission for Racial Equality and the Community Relations Offices is heavily biased against us.
12. Protest vigorously and continuously about immigrant and foreign workers depriving us and our youngsters of jobs. The TUC should be taken to task regularly for its part in never challenging immigration.
13. Insist that central and local government stop lavishing huge sums of our money on well-heeled, advantaged aliens, and demand that the money be used to reinstate proper public services, cuts in school equipment and meals, home helps etc. and the restoration of the high standards in hospitals, public transport etc. to which we were formerly accustomed.
14. Keep protesting loudly about increasing inverse racism in the press and electronic media, Parliament, local and central government, state industries, trade unions, churches, Courts of Law, advertising and in every walk of life where race bias is rampant.
15. Demand an end to alien cultural pollution and the restoration of our own culture to pre-

3. The cost of attempting to create multiracial harmony here is penal and increasing, while huge sums of money are being remitted by immigrants to their homelands.
4. Industry is heavily overloaded with unskilled, foreign labour, and State services are all similarly overburdened with it.
5. Aliens from all 5 continents are undercutting our own workers in jobs always previously done by us.
6. Our traditional society has been upturned by alien customs, religions and cultures, which are deliberately being over-accentuated by the Establishment.
7. The Common Law, which hitherto ordered our lives, is no longer operable because homogeneity, common purpose and the Christian ethic are disintegrating.
8. The inverse racism and positive discrimination at the heart of the Race Relations and British Nationality Acts militate powerfully against acceptance of multiracialism.
9. The threat of violence is being used to frighten and blackmail ethnic Britons into compliance with multiracialism. It will not succeed.
10. Multiracial education is divisive and unnatural, particularly since Marxist teaching has already sown confusion and has lowered standards of learning and general behaviour in many of our schools.
11. Inter-ethnic minority strife is adding to black/white disharmony.
12. The race industry, press and electronic media play up all such divisions and seize every opportunity to denigrate the indigenous population and Christian values.

The great majority of Britons oppose multiracialism on ALL grounds and will do everything possible to destroy the influence of those who persist in promoting it.

IT IS IMPOSSIBLE TO OVER ESTIMATE THE IMMENSE DAMAGE BEING DONE TO THIS NATION BY THE POLITICIANS' BLIND OBSESSION WITH THE ENFORCED MULTIRACIAL INVASION OF OUR COUNTRY. It is not even as though the millions of invaders were happy or satisfied with us and our way of life, or that they themselves favour multiracialism. Far from it. Asians and Africans, for example, have for years been expelling their own unwanted ethnic minorities because they wish to retain their nationhood and separate identity just as ethnic British do.

With no significant decline in the immigrant intake from all over the world, Government refusal to recognise the growing number of those who are seeking assisted repatriation, increasing social upheaval, racial confrontation, lawlessness and violent crime, there is evidence that the indigenous population, believing that there is no longer anything to lose by civil disobedience, or even armed insurrection, are preparing for some sort of showdown, and no one, least of all the politicians of all parties, can wonder at or condemn it. It is a miracle that we have remained quiescent for so long.

Much effort and heroism was poured into the battle for our 1,800 kith and kin 8,000 miles away in the Falkland Islands where patriotism won the day against all the odds. Is it not an outrage that for 20 years we have been denied patriotism and freedom of speech, choice and assembly whilst a

schooling are concerned, as the Establishment has done with coloured ethnic minorities since 1969, and because of the Scarman Report, is increasingly doing.

3. **Condemn multiracial, multicultural and multi-religion education** wherever you encounter it, and refuse to allow your children to be forced to accept a curriculum which embraces it. It is your right as parents to do so.

Refuse also to co-operate in subjecting your children to the multilingual farce which the Commission for Racial Equality is now trying to introduce into schools.

4. **Refuse to employ coloureds** because the Establishment does not require them to employ whites.
5. **Unify CHOICE arguments** (see enclosed leaflet)

our lives like some tin-pot, irritating inquisitor. It should never be forgotten that every single member of the Commission for Racial Equality and the Community Relations Offices is heavily biased against us.

12. **Protest vigorously and continuously** about immigrant and foreign workers depriving us and our youngsters of jobs. The TUC should be taken to task regularly for its part in never challenging immigration.
13. **Insist that central and local government stop lavishing huge sums of our money on well-heeled, advantaged aliens,** and demand that the money be used to reinstate proper public services, cuts in school equipment and meals, home helps etc. and the restoration of the high standards in hospitals, public transport etc. to which we were formerly accustomed.
14. **Keep protesting loudly about increasing inverse racism** in the press and electronic media, Parliament, local and central government, state industries, trade unions, churches, Courts of Law, advertising and in every walk of life where race bias is rampant.
15. **Demand an end to alien cultural pollution and the restoration of our own culture to pre-eminence.**
16. **Support our police forces as never before:** their work of keeping the peace is very dangerous and complicated because of the inverse-racism of the Establishment.

Help them whenever you can by protesting publicly about the disgraceful barrage of verbal and physical abuse to which they are increasingly subjected.

Exercise your influence so that they are not sucked into local politics and dominated by left wing, racially-orientated community control.

Protest strongly about Government pressure on police forces to recruit undersized, improperly uniformed and inadequately educated candidates just because they are coloured. There is no place for positive discrimination in the proper policing of Britain.

★ ★ ★

The foregoing are only some of the steps that we can take, and are intended to set you thinking and planning and to provide new impetus to our campaign to rid this country of multiracialism.

You will think of many more but there is in the CHOICE list something positive for everyone to do. No one needs to move far or incur heavy expense. **The struggle starts at your own desk, on your own doorstep, in your own High Street, and in your own Town Hall or Civic Offices.**

Don't be afraid of any politicians, official, churchman, local group or organisation. **Speak up loud and clear against every manifestation of inverse racism.**

themselves favour multiracialism. Far from it. Asians and Africans, for example, have for years been expelling their own unwanted ethnic minorities because they wish to retain their nationhood and separate identity just as ethnic British do.

With no significant decline in the immigrant intake from all over the world, Government refusal to recognise the growing number of those who are seeking assisted repatriation, increasing social upheaval, racial confrontation, lawlessness and violent crime, there is evidence that the indigenous population, believing that there is no longer anything to lose by civil disobedience, or even armed insurrection, are preparing for some sort of showdown, and no one, least of all the politicians of all parties, can wonder at or condemn it. It is a miracle that we have remained quiescent for so long.

Much effort and heroism was poured into the battle for our 1,800 kith and kin 8,000 miles away in the Falkland Islands where patriotism won the day against all the odds. Is it not an outrage that for 20 years we have been denied patriotism and freedom of speech, choice and assembly whilst a full-scale invasion has been taking place in our own land by courtesy of the Mother of Parliaments?

Our plight in Britain today is every bit as intolerable and menacing as it was for 3 months for our kith and kin in the South Atlantic.

It seems, therefore, that we must now fight the very people who assembled and despatched the brilliant Task Force that went to the rescue of the Falklanders.

CHOICE DELIBERATELY DELAYED PUBLICATION OF THIS EDITION IN ORDER TO WORK OUT NEW TACTICS, SO THAT, IN COMPANY WITH OUR COUNTRY COUSINS THROUGHOUT BRITAIN — WHO, OF COURSE, SHARE OUR PERIL IN THE CITIES — WE CAN TOGETHER RID THIS NATION OF THE SCOURGE OF MULTIRACIALISM FOR ALL TIME.

There is much work to be done, and we must act with selfless courage and dedication. NO ONE CAN DESTROY US IF WE HAVE THE WILL AND THE DETERMINATION TO SURVIVE AS A WHITE CHRISTIAN NATION . . . and this is an ACTION STATIONS edition of CHOICE!

So here is what we do:

1. Ignore the Race Act, as the Establishment does every hour of the day.
2. Openly prefer ourselves where housing, jobs and

schooling are concerned, as the Establishment has done with coloured ethnic minorities since 1969, and because of the Scarman Report, is increasingly doing.

3. **Condemn multiracial, multicultural and multi-religion education** wherever you encounter it, and refuse to allow your children to be forced to accept a curriculum which embraces it. It is your right as parents to do so.

Refuse also to co-operate in subjecting your children to the multilingual farce which the Commission for Racial Equality is now trying to introduce into schools.

4. **Refuse to employ coloureds** because the Establishment does not require them to employ whites.
5. **Unify CHOICE arguments** (see enclosed leaflet) and actions in order to intensify the impact of our national resistance to multiracialism.
6. **Go back to your churches**, fill them and replace multiracially-orientated clergy with normal Christian worship. **Oppose the ONE WORLD cult** — as exemplified by the World Council of Churches and the British Council of Churches — also atheism and Marxism.
7. **Boycott alien shops and services** and decline foreign medical attention in and out of hospital wherever there is an alternative.
8. **Keep up an unrelenting clamour** for an early end to ALL immigration, and for an immediate start to assisted repatriation because we intend, whatever happens, to remain a free, white, Christian nation. (Please see Enoch Powell's Repatriation Plan elsewhere in this issue).
9. **Establish firm working relations** between Town and Country Cousins; invite a 'twin-up' between conveniently situated areas of heavy immigrant concentration and rural areas, and jointly do verbal battle for the rights, protection and general welfare of our own harassed people.
10. Those who are able to do so must **seek to influence local politics** and ensure that our views on immigration and multiracialism are always aired publicly, and taken into account when important decisions have to be made by local councils and

Exercise your influence so that they are not sucked into local politics and dominated by left wing, racially-orientated community control.

Protest strongly about Government pressure on police forces to recruit undersized, improperly uniformed and inadequately educated candidates just because they are coloured. **There is no place for positive discrimination in the proper policing of Britain.**

★ ★ ★

The foregoing are only some of the steps that we can take, and are intended to set you thinking and planning and to provide new impetus to our campaign to rid this country of multiracialism.

You will think of many more but there is in the CHOICE list something positive for everyone to do. No one needs to move far or incur heavy expense. **The struggle starts at your own desk, on your own doorstep, in your own High Street, and in your own Town Hall or Civic Offices.**

Don't be afraid of any politicians, official, churchman, local group or organisation. **Speak up loud and clear against every manifestation of inverse-racism.** There is safety in numbers and we cannot possibly all be sacked or imprisoned! The more of us there are, the sooner Whitehall and Westminster will be obliged to heed our unswerving resolution to end this bitter, indefensible, multiracial farce, which is wrecking so many lives, and could well — if we do nothing — end in ghastly tragedy for us all.

PLEASE RESPOND AS QUICKLY AS POSSIBLE WITH YOUR IDEAS AND ANY QUERIES, AND WE WILL REPLY WITH FURTHER PRACTICAL DETAILS IN HANDY LEAFLET FORM WHICH YOU CAN DISTRIBUTE.

No one in his senses wants war but one day, if we take no action now, and the invasion of our land is not reversed by peaceful means, we may be forced into it.

The battle for the Falklanders has produced a resurgence of patriotism in Britain: now we all know what a good clean fight can achieve in a few weeks and, what is more, all the world knows what good clean fighters we are!

BRITAIN IS NOT FOR SHARING and MULTIRACIALISM IS NOT A STATE SECRET. SO, START TALKING NOW AT THE TOP OF YOUR VOICES — ALL OF YOU — AND DON'T STOP UNTIL THE DECKS ARE CLEARED!

JANE BIRDWOOD

LAND OF THE ENGLISH BREED

COLOURED PEOPLE TO GET CITY JOBS PRIORITY

Mr. Hattersley's heart will be overflowing with joy that the London Boroughs of Camden, Lambeth, Islington, Brent and Hackney — to say nothing of the Greater London Council itself — have all publicly announced that they are practising positive discrimination on behalf of their very large concentrations of New Commonwealth and Pakistan immigrant workers. No doubt he also has some fulsome praise for the city of Leicester's very own pioneer positive discrimination scheme, which was reported in the 'Daily Mail' of 23/8/82.

Coloured job applicants will be given priority for council jobs in one of England's most racially mixed cities.

Leicester, where more than 20 per cent of the population is Asian, is pioneering a positive discrimination scheme. Nearly 400 of the council's 4,000 staff already have been recruited from ethnic minorities — but none has yet reached the top grades.

The new policy, which will be rubber-stamped by the council on Thursday, aims to boost recruitment of coloured employees and set an example for the rest of the city's employers.

Some £10,000 will be spent each year to advertise jobs in ethnic minority Press and on radio.

Staff will be trained to understand cultural differences and eventually only those with such training will interview applicants.

Job hunters will be asked their racial backgrounds so the scheme's effectiveness can be monitored.

Council leader Mr. Peter Soulsby said yesterday that the need for educational qualifications might sometimes be waived.

The letters set out the Council's range of commitments on race equality and ethnic minority needs and seek the organisations' views on them.

Mr. Livingstone, who is also Chairman of the GLC's Ethnic Minorities Committee, said: "We are determined to maximise the involvement of community groups in our work.

"The Ethnic Minorities Committee is developing the most extensive programme ever embarked upon by local government with ethnic minorities in London, and we are anxious to involve ethnic minorities themselves in making the Council's policies and programmes.

"We want to make the communication a two-way flow, and besides asking for written remarks we are holding a major one-day conference on April 24 for ethnic minority organisations to discuss with us these and other issues, such as the Scarman Report, resources for inner city main programmes, and racial disadvantage.

"The Council will also shortly be extending its positive role in providing financial help for ethnic minorities who apply for aid. From April 1, we will have ear-marked special funds to enable us to grant-aid ethnic minority groups in London, with the emphasis on self-help initiatives aimed at countering racism and racial disadvantage."

CHOICE says to Kenneth Livingstone and the Greater London Council: "This action is in blatant contravention of the 1976 Race Relations Act because it favours an assortment of coloured ethnic minorities to the disadvantage of the ethnic majority. Livingstone and his inverse-racist colleagues neither represent nor speak for the great majority of Londoners where multi-racialism is concerned, and the sooner they are removed from County Hall, the better.

LONDON BELONGS TO NATURAL BRITONS, NOT TO ALIENS WITH DUAL NATIONALITY WHO SQUAT HERE FOR A FEW YEARS IN ORDER TO ENRICH THEMSELVES, AND THEN RETURN HOME.

A SAXON SONG

Tools with the comely names,
Mattock and scythe and spade,
Couth and bitter as flames,
Clean, and bowed in the blade,—

A man and his tools make a man and his trade.

A 2-sided sheet, entitled "Black Voice" on one side and "Black Unity & Freedom" on the other, was mailed anonymously to CHOICE who felt that it typifies the political in-fighting that bedevils the whole idea of multiracialism.

Those who advocate it so freely are the very people who either concoct or promote such inverse racist material.

ADDRESS BY MARCUS MARABLE

The battle for Black Liberation continues in towns and cities all over Britain. Our growing numbers ensure our final and eternal triumph . . . By the sheer weight of our numbers our victory is inevitable.

It cannot be over emphasised though that patience is vital at this stage. **The white imperialists must be kept asleep by our constant overtures of friendship and "brotherly love". It is necessary to keep them divided and in a state of constant disunity whilst at the same time maintaining solidarity with every revolutionary black brother and sister.**

Already their spirit is broken by our vast presence and through the existence in their ranks of traitors, "do-gooders" and downright starry eyed fools who do our revolutionary work for us by placing the noose around the necks of their own people and delivering them into our waiting hands.

Efforts must be stepped up to keep a tighter rein on some of our more enthusiastic elements. Although events like Brixton and Bristol etc. are exciting new examples of Black Power flexing its muscles, we cannot at this stage afford to discard too readily our mask of stifling white "civilization".

LIKE LAMBS TO THE SLAUGHTER. THEY ARE THE WHITE SHEEP . . . AND WE ARE THE BLACK WOLVES

On a broader revolutionary note it is well to bear in mind the helpful roles performed by certain institutions in this country. **In particular the news media has been of the greatest assistance in making the road to Black Liberation (and ultimately — we might as well say it — Black Domination) so much easier for us.**

On the whole we receive very favourable coverage from both the Press and broadcasting authorities. And remember the media are all-powerful when it comes to techniques of persuasion. **A person living in hell can**

has yet reached the top grades.

The new policy, which will be rubber-stamped by the council on Thursday, aims to boost recruitment of coloured employees and set an example for the rest of the city's employers.

Some £10,000 will be spent each year to advertise jobs in ethnic minority Press and on radio.

Staff will be trained to understand cultural differences and eventually only those with such training will interview applicants.

Job hunters will be asked their racial backgrounds so the scheme's effectiveness can be monitored.

Council leader Mr. Peter Soulsby said yesterday that the need for educational qualifications might sometimes be waived.

have ear-marked special funds to enable us to grant aid ethnic minority groups in London, with the emphasis on self-help initiatives aimed at countering racism and racial disadvantage."

CHOICE says to Kenneth Livingstone and the Greater London Council: "This action is in blatant contravention of the 1976 Race Relations Act because it favours an assortment of coloured ethnic minorities to the disadvantage of the ethnic majority. Livingstone and his inverse-racist colleagues neither represent nor speak for the great majority of Londoners where multi-racialism is concerned, and the sooner they are removed from County Hall, the better.

LONDON BELONGS TO NATURAL BRITONS, NOT TO ALIENS WITH DUAL NATIONALITY WHO SQUAT HERE FOR A FEW YEARS IN ORDER TO ENRICH THEMSELVES, AND THEN RETURN HOME.

A SAXON SONG

Tools with the comely names,
Mattock and scythe and spade,
Couth and bitter as flames,
Clean, and bowed in the blade,—
A man and his tools make a man and his trade.

Breadth of the English shires,
Hummock and kame and mead,
Tang of the Reeking byres,
Land of the English breed,—
A man and his land make a man and his creed.

Leisurely flocks and herds,
Cool-eyed cattle that come
Mildly to wonted words,
Swine that in orchards roam,—
A man and his beasts make a man and his home.

Children sturdy and flaxen,
Shouting in brotherly strife
Like the land they are Saxon,
Sons of a man and his wife,—
For a man and his loves make a man and his life.

V. Sackville-West

SPEAKER'S CORNER

SIR—Living in Scotland, I hadn't been to Speakers' Corner for years, but visiting London I strolled through the Park on a fine day and stopped to listen.

Twenty years after, I found it a greatly changed place with the speakers mainly coloured and the air of menace palpably stronger.

One orator (hardly the right word for the abuse he uttered) was a black South African exile speaking under the red flag. His sole aim in being in Britain was to incite young blacks to violence and to smash British society, he said.

He openly boasted of being trained in Moscow to do this, which made me think that perhaps he wasn't, as a professional would surely keep quiet about it.

As one of the few people in the crowd to object to his threats I was verbally set upon from all around

and through the existence in their ranks of traitors, "do-gooders" and downright starry eyed fools who do our revolutionary work for us by placing the noose around the necks of their own people and delivering them into our waiting hands.

Efforts must be stepped up to keep a tighter rein on some of our more enthusiastic elements. Although events like Brixton and Bristol etc. are exciting new examples of Black Power flexing its muscles, we cannot at this stage afford to discard too readily our mask of stifling white "civilization".

LIKE LAMBS TO THE SLAUGHTER. THEY ARE THE WHITE SHEEP . . . AND WE ARE THE BLACK WOLVES

On a broader revolutionary note it is well to bear in mind the helpful roles performed by certain institutions in this country. In particular the news media has been of the greatest assistance in making the road to Black Liberation (and ultimately — we might as well say it — Black Domination) so much easier for us.

On the whole we receive very favourable coverage from both the Press and broadcasting authorities. And remember the media are all-powerful when it comes to techniques of persuasion. A person living in hell can be convinced he is in heaven when the media is all-powerful.

Think about it. Every morning Honky rises and reaches for his 'Daily Star', 'Mirror', 'Guardian' or whichever. Every morning he falls for the siren calls to give up and accept his defeat. Every evening he slumps into his armchair and is hypnotised by our greatest ally — the television. His mind is filled with trivia and rubbish. His attention is diverted to soap operas, football and other inconsequential fantasies. And all the while like a sleep walker he is induced to enter the gates of the racial slaughterhouse, to become an extinct species, to move aside for the ultimate Conquerors — the Black People of Britain.

THEREFORE WITH SUCH ALLIES IN THE MEDIA WE CANNOT FAIL. SO DO NOT BE TOO HARD ON THE MEDIA MAN. O.K.

In preparation for the coming struggle the process of "softening up" the whites continues. By constantly exposing the racist oppression carried out by the Nazi police pigs, for instance, we can draw upon the bottomless reservoir of sympathy inherent in every arrogant, patronizing Honky. This is one of our greatest assets. We have learned from our Jewish and Socialist comrades that it is vital to instil a tremendous feeling of guilt in whites in order to paralyse them into total inactivity. At all times we must appear as downtrodden scapegoats and victims of racial abuse and attacks.

This approach definitely works. The guilt and pity of whites for us is already such that we have dispossessed them of enormous areas of their country without so much as a squeak from them. (Our friends in the media ensure that those whites who do dare to complain get either very bad coverage or no coverage

XX

Leicester's pioneer scheme is nothing more than a facsimile of a standard blueprint for the takeover of local government by ethnic minorities from everywhere. With or without proper education they will be pushed into higher and higher positions at the discretion of local multiracial fanatics, many of whom are themselves of doubtful extraction or loyalty, and before long we shall be seeing foreign Town Clerks, Finance Officers, Councillors and Mayors in charge of Britain's local government wherever the Reds are in control. From there it is, of course, only a short step to Parliament.

THE REDS ARE OUT FOR REVOLUTION AND THE DESTRUCTION OF OUR CHOSEN WAY OF LIFE. THEY COULD NOT HAVE FOUND A MORE CUNNING WAY TO MANIPULATE ALIENS INTO THE SEATS OF POWER.

★ ★ ★

"The central issue is that racism cripples the workers' movement politically. The racist worker thinks he has got more in common with his country than his class. This makes him vulnerable to every divide and rule trick in the book. It turns him into a bosses' man, a class-collaborator against his fellow workers . . . Through **WORKERS AGAINST RACISM** we can build a movement that makes it impossible to incarcerate those who defy the state without taking on the whole working class. That's what solidarity means." JUDY HARRISON in 'The Next Step'.

★ ★ ★

From: *Fight Racism! Fight Imperialism!* Feb. 1982

"TEBBIT THE RACIST"

DURING the Queen's Speech debate in Parliament last November, Mr. Norman Tebbit, Secretary for (Un)Employment, was questioned about the fact that black workers suffer a higher rate of unemployment than white workers. His reply, as the Cabinet Minister responsible, revealed that open racism of the crudest and most poisonous kind is now official Government policy.

Mr. Tebbit said that he did not want to enter the

"The central issue is that racism cripples the workers' movement politically. The racist worker thinks he has got more in common with his country than his class. This makes him vulnerable to every divide and rule trick in the book. It turns him into a bosses' man, a class-collaborator against his fellow workers . . . Through **WORKERS AGAINST RACISM** we can build a movement that makes it impossible to incarcerate those who defy the state without taking on the whole working class. That's what solidarity means." JUDY HARRISON in 'The Next Step'.

★ ★ ★

From: *Fight Racism! Fight Imperialism!* Feb. 1982

"TEBBIT THE RACIST"

DURING the Queen's Speech debate in Parliament last November, Mr. Norman Tebbit, Secretary for (Un)Employment, was questioned about the fact that black workers suffer a higher rate of unemployment than white workers. His reply, as the Cabinet Minister responsible, revealed that open racism of the crudest and most poisonous kind is now official Government policy.

Mr. Tebbit said that he did not want to enter the debate "on what part of the disadvantages of ethnic minority groups arises from unchangeable heredity and what part from changeable environment . . . I know not whether it is a matter of heredity that causes Asian shopkeepers to open their shops earlier and to close them later than many others."

After delivering this racist outburst, based on the infamous slave-trader 'heredity' propaganda used to justify slavery and now revived to serve the needs of imperialism, Tebbit went on to encourage racists to take pride in their racism. He said: "**We of European stock have no need to torture ourselves with guilt in the belief that it is only white people who are prejudiced or who discriminate on grounds of race . . .**"

CHOICE says: "Bully for you, Mr. Tebbit! and Hurrah for Sanity!" The report, incidentally, was topped by a picture of an overturned blazing police van and the words: "Fight Racism", which at once drew our attention!

From: *Caribbean Times*, March 1982

KEN LIVINGSTONE INVITES ETHNIC MINORITIES TO "HELP US SHAPE LONDON"

ABOUT 700 ethnic minority groups in London have been sent letters by Greater London Council Leader Ken Livingstone, inviting them to assist in shaping the Council's policies as they affect the capital's ethnic minority population.

A man and his dearest make a man and his home.
Children sturdy and flaxen,
Shouting in brotherly strife
Like the land they are Saxon,
Sons of a man and his wife,—
For a man and his loves make a man and his life.

V. Sackville-West

SPEAKER'S CORNER

SIR—Living in Scotland, I hadn't been to Speakers' Corner for years, but visiting London I strolled through the Park on a fine day and stopped to listen.

Twenty years after, I found it a greatly changed place with the speakers mainly coloured and the air of menace palpably stronger.

One orator (hardly the right word for the abuse he uttered) was a black South African exile speaking under the red flag. His sole aim in being in Britain was to incite young blacks to violence and to smash British society, he said.

He openly boasted of being trained in Moscow to do this, which made me think that perhaps he wasn't, as a professional would surely keep quiet about it.

As one of the few people in the crowd to object to his threats I was verbally set upon from all around and branded a racist.

One reads reports of the ease with which the Russians can flood Britain and the United States with people such as this, and one wonders if this is the true cause for our unprecedented crime rate.

G. J. PARTRIDGE
Clochan, Banffshire.

★ ★ ★

On 28 August this year a report appeared in the London Evening Standard that a Brixton-based group calling itself the **BLACK INK COLLECTIVE**, has received £120,000 from Lambeth Urban Aid Programme over the last 3 years, and produced a booklet costing 70p containing this poem by a 15-year old black boy:

I belong to a street gang:
We destroy everything in our path.
That's how we get our kicks—
We enjoy fighting old ladies:
We like them to shiver and beg for mercy.

CHOICE says: How dare the Government fund this sort of hate-filled incitement to race hatred with our money, and at the same time sanction the banning of Enid Blyton's books in the interests of better race relations? They are making absolute tools of themselves and of the blacks.

And all the while like a sleepwalker he is induced to enter the gates of the racial slaughterhouse, to become an extinct species, to move aside for the ultimate Conquerors — the Black People of Britain.

THEREFORE WITH SUCH ALLIES IN THE MEDIA WE CANNOT FAIL. SO DO NOT BE TOO HARD ON THE MEDIA MAN. O.K.

In preparation for the coming struggle the process of "softening up" the whites continues. By constantly exposing the racist oppression carried out by the **Nazi police pigs**, for instance, we can draw upon the bottomless reservoir of sympathy inherent in every arrogant, patronizing Honky. This is one of our greatest assets. We have learned from our Jewish and Socialist comrades that it is vital to instil a tremendous feeling of guilt in whites in order to paralyse them into total inactivity. **At all times we must appear as downtrodden scapegoats and victims of racial abuse and attacks.**

This approach definitely works. The guilt and pity of whites for us is already such that we have dispossessed them of enormous areas of their country without so much as a squeak from them. (Our friends in the media ensure that those whites who do dare to complain get either **very bad coverage or no coverage at all**. In this way a potent white mass movement against us cannot possibly get off the ground).

However as their society really begins to crumble even the most stupid and cowardly whites will finally realise that they are in a war situation and that the side they are on depends upon the colour of their skin, that is, their uniform.

Therefore we must be on constant alert and maintain strong links with the **Trade Union movement** and the **Labour Party** so that by Parliamentary (legal) means we can fill to overflowing Britain's prisons with those Honkies who dare to resist our inevitable triumph. **In short we will have them interned in concentration camps by their own police and judges, in their own (or what was their own) country.**

BRITAIN IS BLACK. WE ARE HERE TO STAY.

Printed by Manchester Black People's Political Alliance.

★ ★ ★

APOLOGY

CHOICE unreservedly apologises to Dr. P. A. J. Waddington of Reading University for having reproduced his article entitled "What of Community policing if it's a Community of Criminals" from the 'Daily Telegraph' of November 1981 without his permission in CHOICE No. 12, and for any subsequent embarrassment he may have suffered.

WHO PROMISED OUR LAND?

"THE PROMISED LAND"

AN OPEN letter to Ed Milner and Ken Little, joint producers of a Summer 1982, 4-part B.B.C. series entitled "The Promised Land".

Dear Ed and Ken,

For my sins I sat through most of "The Promised Land" series and the following are just a few of my jottings and questions:

Do we always have to start any television programme on Race with riots? They have prefaced, filled-in or concluded just about every such production over the last 12 months.

We are sick to death of seeing endless flash-backs of Bristol, Brixton, Southall and Toxteth, so why not give them a rest? Or do you honestly believe you add to greater understanding and mutual respect between whites and coloureds by showing the finest police force in the world being savaged by disaffected, incompatible foreigners?

What was your purpose in enumerating all the vicious accusations regularly hurled at opponents of multiracialism by its supporters? Could it have been that you, like ANAL, SWP, WAR and all the rest of them, see in inverse-racialism and inverse-discrimination, the true path to "The Promised Land"? If so, you are unquestionably on the wrong planet and you and your like are not wanted on this voyage.

The English are not — as you assert — a race by virtue of immigration or anything else: we are a white nation, and you really ought to get your facts right, Ken. Europeans are culturally compatible with ourselves and, may I remind you, came here over the centuries in far smaller numbers, while New Commonwealth and Pakistani immigrants together with a tidal wave of strangers from all over the world, have alighted in Britain over the past 35 years. Hardly comparable, would you say?

Why do you persist in the lie that Englishmen will not do their own 'dirty' jobs, as you call them? We have always been prepared to soil our hands in the service of our country. The truth is that the Trade Union leadership never intervened to stop unskilled cheap foreign labour being imported into Britain by a succession of British governments. Only recently I heard a Transport & General Workers Union spokesman say on LBC radio that 70% of the hotel and catering industry in London is staffed by low-paid, non-English speaking alien workers. I never hear any BBC pro-

STRAWS IN THE WIND

AN avid listener to the B.B.C. Radio programmes, including World Service, has noted a few straws in the wind, suggesting that when the new Nationality Act comes into force in January 1983, it will be very fashionable to say that there are no 'black Britons' or 'brown Britons': we are all British.

We must make it quite clear that black children born here are West Indians born in England, not English or 'British'. Similarly, the many Asian children one sees, born in England, speaking an Asian language as well as English, having dual nationality, and not being Christians, are Indians, Pakistanis, Bangladeshis, etc., born in England, and will never be accepted as English by us.

★ ★ ★

"... let us take a few of what can properly be described as some of the worst of the peace crimes, for which no punishment could be too severe. At the top of the list of indictment must stand the policy of unrestricted coloured immigration. No conqueror, determined to devastate this country, could have conceived of a crueller way of wreaking his will. If Hitler had won the war and forced Britain to import hundreds of thousands of blacks and browns, whose integration was then imposed by decree, such a policy would rightly have been condemned as diabolically inhumane, almost without parallel in the annals of conquest. Yet that is what a liberal ruling class did to this country, in the name of progress." PEREGRINE WORSTHORNE writing in the 'Sunday Telegraph'.

DEFY AND BE DAMNED!

IT WAS reported by the Home Office in August 1982 that in the second quarter of this year the number of immigrants settling in the United Kingdom was approximately 13,800, bringing the annual total to 53,800. Of these, the number of citizens from New Commonwealth countries and Pakistan was 29,900, just 1,900 fewer over the 12 months.

These figures make it clear that in spite of rising unemployment in Britain, at least 1,000 new immigrants are being admitted every week to this country.

Over the same period, no fewer than 54,154 people have obtained British citizenship, an increase of 62% on the figure for the previous 12 months, and the

with sexy, 'rude' dancers, a hero in search of his African roots, and a heroine who is over-sheltered by her narrow religious parents, speaking almost unintelligible West Indian patois. The initial grant of £50,000 was for 6 months from the Manpower Services Board, to which has now been added no less a sum than £225,000 from the Arts Council. Isn't it about time the State, through its official and Quasi-Autonomous Non-Governmental Organisations, stopped squandering our money on disgruntled, disaffected aliens at a time of such acute economic and employment difficulties, and used it instead to help those who wish to be repatriated of their own free will?

We know, of course, that the Government hates to admit the total failure of multiracialism and this one-sided largesse to aliens is intolerable.

We now hear almost daily of huge sums of money being paid out exclusively to coloured ethnic minority projects from Urban Aid, the Grant Aid Bill, Manpower Services Board, Commission for Racial Equality, Greater London Council, Arts Council, various Church Funds, Charity Grants, Sports Grants, even from the European Commission, for language tuition. I give you three guesses where it will all end up! You're right! In a thousand futile ventures up and down Britain and in growing anger from the indigenous population who have much better things to do with their hard-earned money than shore up and secure for all time this Government-sponsored invasion of Britain.

The invaders should clearly understand that they are losing the battle for settlement here. What the Government says and does is of no consequence whatsoever to the 85% of us who, having never been consulted about any aspect of multiracialism, entirely reject it and see no obligation to co-operate; indeed, there is now every reason why we should all of us openly oppose and defy any multiracialist, be he Government Minister, local Councillor or any other official or quasi-official person who attempts to obtain our compliance with their evil multiracial designs.

The fact is that patriots must now go to war against their own Government if this country is to be saved for white Christian civilisation.

"The level of immigration has become a non-issue"

vicious accusations regularly hurled at opponents of multiracialism by its supporters? **Could it have been that you, like ANAL, SWP, WAR and all the rest of them, see in inverse-racialism and inverse-discrimination, the true path to "The Promised Land"?** If so, you are unquestionably on the wrong planet and you and your like are not wanted on this voyage.

The English are **not** — as you assert — a race by virtue of immigration or anything else: we are a white nation, and you really ought to get your facts right, Ken. Europeans are culturally compatible with ourselves and, may I remind you, came here over the centuries in far smaller numbers, while New Commonwealth and Pakistani immigrants together with a tidal wave of strangers from all over the world, have alighted in Britain over the past 35 years. Hardly comparable, would you say?

Why do you persist in the lie that Englishmen will not do their own 'dirty' jobs, as you call them? **We have always been prepared to soil our hands in the service of our country.** The truth is that the Trade Union leadership never intervened to stop unskilled cheap foreign labour being imported into Britain by a succession of British governments. **Only recently I heard a Transport & General Workers Union spokesman say on LBC radio that 70% of the hotel and catering industry in London is staffed by low-paid, non-English speaking alien workers.** I never hear any BBC producers condemn that outrageous state of affairs but perhaps your disapproval is reserved exclusively for those of us who believe that our fellow countrymen must always come first in the British labour market?

You seem to forget that many of us have been around for a very long time and that patriotism holds no fears for us as it appears to do for some of you.

What was all that nonsense about West Indians searching for their identity back amidst reggae music and Rastafarianism in the Caribbean, and the process being further complicated by their UK residence? Do you really believe the West Indian, wherever he is, does not know his own identity? If that is what you believe then you are insulting him. If he wants to teach us the 'striking differences in background' (as you put it) among the people of the Caribbean, let him do so in his own good time and in his own way and do **not** berate your white audiences for their ignorance, if such it really be.

Incidentally, in case it has slipped your minds, the place to discover one's identity and culture is at home among one's own people, and not in someone else's land.

You say that Asians are seen by us to be "better integrated" into the host community than the West Indians. Wrong again, boys! The truth is that because they understand and speak English, the West Indians are better able to comprehend our society. Whether they appreciate us any better is another matter!

What makes you think we have an obligation to "come to terms with the different cultures inside Britain, and the fact that we are a multiracial society as a direct consequence of our history and position in the world?" In fact, we have nothing of the sort, the best being rather on the other foot. It is up to the

of the list of multiracialism must state the policy of unrestricted coloured immigration. No conqueror, determined to devastate this country, could have conceived of a crueller way of wreaking his will. If Hitler had won the war and forced Britain to import hundreds of thousands of blacks and browns, whose integration was then imposed by decree, such a policy would rightly have been condemned as diabolically inhumane, almost without parallel in the annals of conquest. **Yet that is what a liberal ruling class did to this country, in the name of progress."** PEREGRINE WORSTHORNE writing in the 'Sunday Telegraph'.

DEFY AND BE DAMNED!

IT WAS reported by the Home Office in August 1982 that in the second quarter of this year the number of immigrants settling in the United Kingdom was approximately 13,800, bringing the annual total to 53,800. Of these, the number of citizens from New Commonwealth countries and Pakistan was 29,900, just 1,900 fewer over the 12 months.

These figures make it clear that in spite of rising unemployment in Britain, at least 1,000 new immigrants are being admitted every week to this country.

Over the same period, no fewer than 54,154 people have obtained British citizenship, an increase of 62% on the figure for the previous 12 months, and the highest figure since 1975. The reason for it is not hard to find: the period of time covered was the year in which new legislation on British nationality, which is due to take effect in January 1983, was being debated in Parliament, and readers of **CHOICE** will remember that in our issue No. 7 of 1979 we quoted the advice then being given by the ubiquitous Joint Council for the Welfare of Immigrants in connection with the proposed new British Nationality Act, that:

- (1) Commonwealth citizens who wished to become United Kingdom citizens should apply to do so;
- (2) that dependants should be brought over to Britain as quickly as possible; and
- (3) that women with fiancés abroad might consider marrying overseas immediately.

That article concluded: **"In face of all this blatant discrimination against the vast majority of natural Britons, we are left, I believe, no alternative but to disregard the 1976 Race Act from now on and speak our minds loud and clear.** In the last 30 years we have behaved impeccably and with great patience and tolerance, and all the Establishment does in return is to abuse and berate us.

If Mrs. Thatcher and her Ministers cannot — or will not — see what is happening to the nation as a result of the multiracial invasion, then we must find new ways of bringing the scale of the disaster to their attention . . ."

The following year the Commission for Racial Equality was rushing about as though pursued by a swarm of bees, in frantic concern that large numbers of ethnic minority groups, particularly West Indians, could find themselves categorised as foreigners, even though they believed themselves to be British. The Commission was desperate that any immigrant unsure

Church Funds, Charity Grants, Sports Grants, even from the European Commission, for language tuition. I give you three guesses where it will all end up! You're right! In a thousand futile ventures up and down Britain and in growing anger from the indigenous population who have much better things to do with their hard-earned money than shore up and secure for all time this Government-sponsored invasion of Britain.

The invaders should clearly understand that they are losing the battle for settlement here. What the Government says and does is of no consequence whatsoever to the 85% of us who, having never been consulted about any aspect of multiracialism, entirely reject it and see no obligation to co-operate; indeed, there is now every reason why we should all of us openly oppose and defy any multiracialist, be he Government Minister, local Councillor or any other official or quasi-official person who attempts to obtain our compliance with their evil multiracial designs.

The fact is that patriots must now go to war against their own Government if this country is to be saved for white Christian civilisation.

"The level of immigration has become a non-issue".
JOHN WHEELER, Conservative MP for Paddington.

DEPRIVED AND DISADVANTAGED?

A Surrey-based **CHOICE** supporter wrote: "Many of our multi-racialists emphasize the 'contribution' made by the immigrants. One has only to stand behind an immigrant family in a supermarket (or any other market) to get the impression that one is witnessing a smash and grab raid, as boxes, packets, cartons, plastic shopping bags, etc., are carried away overflowing to waiting cars." Other evidence is provided by the sight and sound of West Indian youths with suitcase-sized radios on buses, underground and overground trains, and in the streets.

In spite of all this, we are constantly told that the immigrants are deprived and disadvantaged, and more and more State money is lavished on them.

The truth is that the only contribution they make is to their own bank balances here and to their homelands to which they remit huge sums of money.

It begins to look as though some large unscrupulous firms are 'laundering' the proceeds from the burgeoning illicit drug traffic which is flourishing openly in some of our worst city no-go ghettos. England has become the drug 'junction' of the world since the onset of the immigrant invasion, and the enormous profits are flowing like liquid gold through their hands.

We know for a fact that the 671,000 Iranians who came to Britain in the space of three years, were not allowed by their Government to take money out of Iran, so they brought heroin instead, and lived openly

and Rastafarianism in the Caribbean, and the process being further complicated by their UK residence? Do you really believe the West Indian, wherever he is, does not know his own identity? If that is what you believe then you are insulting him. If he wants to teach us the 'striking differences in background' (as you put it) among the people of the Caribbean, let him do so in his own good time and in his own way and do **not** berate your white audiences for their ignorance, if such it really be.

Incidentally, in case it has slipped your minds, the place to discover one's identity and culture is at home among one's own people, and not in someone else's land.

You say that Asians are seen by us to be "better integrated" into the host community than the West Indians. Wrong again, boys! The truth is that because they understand and speak English, the West Indians are better able to comprehend our society. Whether they appreciate us any better is another matter!

What makes you think we have an obligation to "come to terms with the different cultures inside Britain, and the fact that we are a multiracial society as a direct consequence of our history and position in the world?" In fact, we have nothing of the sort, the boot being rather on the other foot. It is up to the newcomers to accept **our** culture and **our** traditional way of life, and not to over-emphasise their own. **The fact that many immigrants now wish to return to their homelands says a great deal for our consideration and tact in having refrained from turning their chosen way of life upside down when we lived in their countries.** They can still recognise and appreciate what they have left behind, or, never having experienced it, like what their families describe to them.

It should never be forgotten that when this time last summer a survey about repatriation was undertaken by the Labour Agent in the Handsworth Division of Birmingham among 11-14 year old West Indian boys, almost all of them, having seen nothing of the Caribbean, said that although they were born here and hold British passports, they would prefer to live there.

You consistently neglect to mention in programmes on Race the fact that the indigenous Briton was ignored when he should have been consulted about immigration and multiracialism; in consequence he has given no mandate whatsoever for them to **any** British government, and it is as unrealistic as it is absurd, to expect us to accept the ill-conceived and reckless machinations of a few thousand **ONE** **WORLDERS.**

"The Promised Land" was an injudicious, insensitive title for your series of programmes and can only have added to racial tension, like a host of others transmitted over the last 30 years.

Yours disgustedly,
JANE BIRDWOOD

- (1) **that United Kingdom citizens should apply to do so;**
- (2) **that dependants should be brought over to Britain as quickly as possible; and**
- (3) **that women with fiancés abroad might consider marrying overseas immediately.**

That article concluded: "In face of all this blatant discrimination against the vast majority of natural Britons, we are left, I believe, no alternative but to disregard the 1976 Race Act from now on and speak our minds loud and clear. In the last 30 years we have behaved impeccably and with great patience and tolerance, and all the Establishment does in return is to abuse and berate us.

If Mrs. Thatcher and her Ministers cannot — or will not — see what is happening to the nation as a result of the multiracial invasion, then we must find new ways of bringing the scale of the disaster to their attention . . ."

The following year the Commission for Racial Equality was rushing about as though pursued by a swarm of bees, in frantic concern that large numbers of ethnic minority groups, particularly West Indians, could find themselves categorised as foreigners, even though they believed themselves to be British. The Commission was desperate that any immigrant unsure about his or her citizenship rights, should contact the Department of Health and Social Security to ensure that all children were on the electoral register.

Among the 54,154 new British citizens, Pakistanis are seen once again to be in the majority with no fewer than 8,971, a rise of some 4,671 on the previous 12 months. The report also says that citizenship was acquired **either in Britain or in their own country** by 5,523 Jamaicans, 4,949 Indians, 2,284 South Africans, 1,879 Zimbabweans, 1,622 Chinese, 1,323 Italians, 1,106 Frenchmen, 1,099 Bangladeshis, and 1,035 Poles.

What we want to know is why anyone living in his own country should be given British nationality? Why cannot these people be content with one nationality and one passport? They complain perpetually about us, our society, our weather and our way of life, not to mention our 'racism', so why on earth do they not live in their own glorious paradises, and devote all their terrific cultures, talents etc. to their fellow countrymen and homelands? What is the matter with homegrown pride in nation? Do these new Britons not realise that the best place to nourish and freely enjoy their cultures is in the Motherland, not in someone else's country where they are incompatible and unacceptable except when at their most artistic and entertaining? That is what we in Britain call culture, not all the alien ethnic sideshows and domestic taboos which have begun to litter our television screens and 'alternative' places of entertainment at terrific cost to the tax and rate payer. Take, for instance, "Freedom City" — described in the St. Paul's district of Bristol, where it originated, as a musical drama comprising reggae, pop and punk, some sort of African routine

market) to get the impression that one is witnessing a smash and grab raid, as boxes, packets, cartons, plastic shopping bags, etc., are carried away overflowing to waiting cars." Other evidence is provided by the sight and sound of West Indian youths with suitcase-sized radios on buses, underground and overground trains, and in the streets.

In spite of all this, we are constantly told that the immigrants are deprived and disadvantaged, and more and more State money is lavished on them.

The truth is that the only contribution they make is to their own bank balances here and to their homelands to which they remit huge sums of money.

It begins to look as though some large unscrupulous firms are 'laundering' the proceeds from the burgeoning illicit drug traffic which is flourishing openly in some of our worst city no-go ghettos. England has become the drug 'junction' of the world since the onset of the immigrant invasion, and the enormous profits are flowing like liquid gold through their hands.

We know for a fact that the 671,000 Iranians who came to Britain in the space of three years, were not allowed by their Government to take money out of Iran, so they brought heroin instead, and lived openly on the proceeds.

Which big businesses have a taste for 'laundering'? We should be keeping an eye on the slippery tycoons with gold dust on their shoes, and not be afraid to denounce where we have clear proof of guilt.

★ ★ ★

Devizes, Wiltshire SN10 5DW
15th August, 1982

The Editor,
CHOICE

Dear Editor,—May I pose three hypothetical questions? If I adopted a non-Christian religion should I be allowed to (1) ride a motor-cycle without wearing a crash helmet; (2) smoke cannabis; (3) take one, two or three more wives without rendering myself liable to a charge of bigamy?

Yours faithfully,
L. M. Hopkins

APARTHEID

"In Britain, Muslims fight for their rights, not as black people, but as Muslims; their religious demands create more problems for the non-white community . . . A time may come in future in certain areas of Britain when the Muslim population will grow many folds, it will be the time then, when Muslims will start demanding a separate state on the basis of religion, culture, food and other reasons . . ." R. M. JOHNS, Pakistan Christian Workers Organization (UK). Part of a letter to 'New Equals', Spring 1982.

R E P A T R I A T I O N

IT begins to look now as though repatriation is the only peaceful solution available to all those millions of us in Britain — black, brown, yellow and white — who reject violence. This is because of increasing involvement and pressure from malevolent political factions behind multiracialism.

For several years CHOICE has advocated voluntary assisted repatriation in the belief that eventual reconciliation can flow from it. The truth is that the inter-racial hatred engendered here is a result of government failure to communicate its ill-conceived multiracial plans and to obtain a mandate for them from the indigenous population: nor, indeed, have the immigrants ever been taken into the politicians' confidence on this question. So the whole dreadful affair was hopelessly mismanaged from the outset and never had a chance of success.

When New Commonwealth and Pakistani immigrants turn and accuse us of racial prejudice and discrimination, they should remember that we whites have sanctioned nothing and welcomed no one to these islands. In consequence we feel no obligation whatsoever to accept or obey any government decree concerning the implementation of multiracialism which has been forced upon us without our knowledge or consent.

CHOICE has recently noticed the efforts of Mr. Michael Williams, a West Indian living in south east London, who wants to carry out a full-scale survey in 15 of our cities into whether or not his fellow countrymen wish to be repatriated; so far his efforts to raise the money for it have proved fruitless, even from the Commission for Racial Equality.

Mr. Williams believes that more than a million blacks would like to leave of their own free will providing they have sufficient financial help. His views are echoed by Mrs. Carmen Beckford, Bristol's Senior Community Relations Officer; she feels that thousands of black people would jump at the chance of repatriation; and she said that even young blacks who have never been to the Caribbean say they want to leave Britain, adding: "That's why the Rastafarian movement is so strong now". CHOICE supporters may remember that when I visited some 20 Rastas in July last year at their Citadel in Handsworth, Birmingham, the first question fired at me was: "Do you know any country on earth where multiracialism works?" And

We do not have the option of altering either us or them: neither politicians nor laws, public expenditure nor exhortation, priests nor moralists, have the power to do that — perhaps fortunately, as there is no particular reason to have faith in their wisdom. The option which we do have is to recognise and take the practical action which alone, given human nature as it is, and given their identities and ours as they are, can avert the consequences which otherwise lie ahead for all — NCWP or non-NCWP. That action is action which both prevents the further displacement of our people and alteration of the population composition and will enable and assist those others to make the most of their own countries and the best of their own nations.

I marvel when I listen to discussions of "aid to under-developed countries", of "the third world", and of the percentage point of our national income which we are supposed to be devoting to it, when the most effective, genuine and condescension-free form of aid lies ready to our hand, from which uncontested mutual advantage would flow. Hundreds of thousands of citizens (by birth or descent) of the New Commonwealth countries and Pakistan have acquired in Britain experience, knowledge, education, training and assets which could be put to more advantageous use in those countries and would do much more for their future than any artificial infusion of government-to-government "aid". Instead, however, of studying ways to remove the obstacles to this re-emigration and to encourage and assist it, we seem determined to treat the whole subject as undiscussable.

I see no reason why, in addition to measures of direct assistance with removal, passage and resettlement, we should not offer to pay the capital value of the accrued pension and other social security rights which have been acquired in this country. There is also a particular application to the younger generation, especially at this time of high unemployment. It is ironical for us to be providing training facilities to keep school-leavers off the employment market and out of the dole-queue, while neglecting this opportunity to afford to the young citizens of New Commonwealth countries who are here the chance to acquire, in conjunction perhaps with the governments of their own nations, the training, education and skills which will be most in demand at home. A chance is being missed. Neither is there any reason why we should

This being so, the Government no longer has any valid reason for not giving active support to a voluntary repatriation scheme with all the many obvious advantages resulting therefrom such as a reduction of racial tension (including violence and arson in our cities), a reduction in unemployment and public spending as well as alleviating the housing problem. Needless to say, all this and more will be of enormous benefit to the Government, the country at large and of course the immigrants themselves.

We should be grateful if you would confirm that you will put such a scheme into operation at an early date.

Yours faithfully,

J. Taylor (and 14 others)

J. Taylor, Esq.
London, W.11

13th January, 1982

Dear Mr. Taylor

Thank you for your letters of 15 December addressed to the Prime Minister and the Home Secretary about repatriation. I have been asked to reply.

The Home Secretary read with interest the report of the survey carried out by the 'News of the World' to which you refer. It was a very small sampling, but there is no reason to suppose that the findings, although surprising, are not accurate. The survey does not, however, reflect the impression that Ministers have gained from their discussions with members of the Afro-Caribbean community about their attitudes towards life in this country. Many have been born here. This country is their home where they wish to stay and play their part in constructing the just and prosperous society that we are working towards. They deplore as much as their fellow white citizens the lawless behaviour of a small minority, both black and white, that we saw in the disturbances in our inner city areas last summer.

There are, nevertheless, some, particularly among the older first-generation immigrants, who have failed to make a success of their lives here, and who wish to return to their country of origin. Government funds are already available, under 2 schemes, to help such people return permanently overseas where they themselves lack the means to do so. Each year a number of people take advantage of the assistance that is avail-

whatsoever to accept or obey any government decree concerning the implementation of multiracialism which has been forced upon us without our knowledge or consent.

CHOICE has recently noticed the efforts of Mr. Michael Williams, a West Indian living in south east London, who wants to carry out a full-scale survey in 15 of our cities into whether or not his fellow countrymen wish to be repatriated; so far his efforts to raise the money for it have proved fruitless, even from the Commission for Racial Equality.

Mr. Williams believes that more than a million blacks would like to leave of their own free will providing they have sufficient financial help. His views are echoed by Mrs. Carmen Beckford, Bristol's Senior Community Relations Officer: she feels that thousands of black people would jump at the chance of repatriation; and she said that even young blacks who have never been to the Caribbean say they want to leave Britain, adding: "That's why the Rastafarian movement is so strong now". CHOICE supporters may remember that when I visited some 20 Rastas in July last year at their Citadel in Handsworth, Birmingham, the first question fired at me was: "Do you know any country on earth where multiracialism works?" And they told me then how glad they were to find that 85% of English people reject it for Britain.

You will remember also how Dr. Ashton Gibson, who directs a large Caribbean Community Centre in East London, tried to get help with repatriation for a number of West Indians at the end of 1981, and was publicly condemned by some of his fellow blacks for his efforts. The latter were probably Black Power men who have no understanding of the homesick and the disillusioned, seeing in their desire to be repatriated only the increasing failure of multiracialism here, and the inevitable and eventual defeat of their own dreams.

Mr. Enoch Powell naturally understands and sympathises with the would-be repatriates and in the following extract from a speech he made to The Monday Club in May 1982, he puts forward a powerful plea in the shape of an enlightened, comprehensive plan for aiding those who wish to return to their homelands, to do so.

It is hoped that all those who read it will think very hard about it, and consider whether we should unite in support of what Mr. Powell proposes, remembering in the process that his plan may be the last chance any of us has of a happy and peaceful solution.

Repatriation is no longer one man's dream: it has become everyman's imperative, if he is to survive with an identity and a culture of his own.

ENOCH POWELL'S REPATRIATION PLAN

The only rational assumption upon which to answer the question "Was Brixton 1981 an end or a beginning?" is that the crucial cause is increasing and will go on increasing and that this will be perceived more and more clearly by all concerned, if they have not

of citizens (by birth or descent) of the New Commonwealth countries and Pakistan have acquired in Britain experience, knowledge, education, training and assets which could be put to more advantageous use in those countries and would do much more for their future than any artificial infusion of government-to-government "aid". Instead, however, of studying ways to remove the obstacles to this re-emigration and to encourage and assist it, we seem determined to treat the whole subject as undiscussable.

I see no reason why, in addition to measures of direct assistance with removal, passage and resettlement, we should not offer to pay the capital value of the accrued pension and other social security rights which have been acquired in this country. There is also a particular application to the younger generation, especially at this time of high unemployment. It is ironical for us to be providing training facilities to keep school-leavers off the employment market and out of the dole-queue, while neglecting this opportunity to afford to the young citizens of New Commonwealth countries who are here the chance to acquire, in conjunction perhaps with the governments of their own nations, the training, education and skills which will be most in demand at home. A chance is being missed. Neither is there any reason why we should cut off the outlet for employment here which New Commonwealth countries, especially in the West Indies, have found in the last generation; for we could offer, in agreement with the respective governments, a quota of jobs at the present level, upon the basis of a turn-over of labour which involved no permanent settlement but would create a stronger mutual link with the islands and territories of origin.

This beneficial reversal of the negative attitude which has hitherto been conventionally obligatory will not come about of its own accord. The necessary motive force and governmental machinery has to be called into existence, especially since so many different departments and agencies would be involved. I have proposed before, and I propose it again, that there should be a Department of Repatriation. If it makes the step any easier to take, let it be a Department of Immigration and Repatriation, which could also take over from the Home Office the administration of the continuing aspects of current and past immigration but do so in a larger and more rational context, embracing the whole scene of employment and development here and in the Commonwealth countries. If it were desired to avoid increasing the total number of departments, it would be logical and more than presentationally beneficial to absorb into the new Department the work of the existing Department of Overseas Development.

Its central task, however, would be to assess and organize all the possible methods by which what has hitherto seemed a curse can be transformed into a blessing for this country and the countries of the Commonwealth. The moment at which Britain may have begun at last to rediscover its personality and its power as a nation is propitious for the British people and their government and political parties to snap out of the fatalistic resignation with which millions now

The Home Secretary read with interest the report of the survey carried out by the 'News of the World' to which you refer. It was a very small sampling, but there is no reason to suppose that the findings, although surprising, are not accurate. The survey does not, however, reflect the impression that Ministers have gained from their discussions with members of the Afro-Caribbean community about their attitudes towards life in this country. Many have been born here. This country is their home where they wish to stay and play their part in constructing the just and prosperous society that we are working towards. They deplore as much as their fellow white citizens the lawless behaviour of a small minority, both black and white, that we saw in the disturbances in our inner city areas last summer.

There are, nevertheless, some, particularly among the older first-generation immigrants, who have failed to make a success of their lives here, and who wish to return to their country of origin. Government funds are already available, under 2 schemes, to help such people return permanently overseas where they themselves lack the means to do so. Each year a number of people take advantage of the assistance that is available. It is the Government's view, however, that large-scale repatriation is not the answer to any problem. What is needed is a stable, racially harmonious society in which all our citizens can work together to achieve the Government's economic objectives on which depends the future well-being of the country, including the resolution of the problem you refer to in your letter. The creation of such a society depends upon general recognition and acceptance that all people who are lawfully settled in this country have the same rights and responsibilities and are entitled to the same respect and consideration.

Yours sincerely,

E. W. Lister

Personal

The Home Secretary,
Home Office,
Queen Ann's Gate,
London SW1H 9AT

29th January, 1982

Dear Home Secretary,

Voluntary Repatriation of Immigrants.

I have received a reply dated 13.1.82 from Mr. E. W. Lister (Home Office) to my letter to you dated 15.12.82 regarding the above matter and in regard to a recent 'News of the World' poll. I regret I find this very unsatisfactory and somewhat evasive.

Mr. Lister states there are 2 schemes to help immigrants return home but to my knowledge little or no publicity is given to these, and the N. of the W. survey plainly indicates that most immigrants know nothing about them.

Would you please inform me what publicity if any is in fact given to these schemes and whether they are advertised in immigrant or other newspapers etc. If

only the increasing failure of multiracialism here, and the inevitable and eventual defeat of their own dreams.

Mr. Enoch Powell naturally understands and sympathises with the would-be repatriates and in the following extract from a speech he made to The Monday Club in May 1982, he puts forward a powerful plea in the shape of an enlightened, comprehensive plan for aiding those who wish to return to their homelands, to do so.

It is hoped that all those who read it will think very hard about it, and consider whether we should unite in support of what Mr. Powell proposes, remembering in the process that his plan may be the last chance any of us has of a happy and peaceful solution.

Repatriation is no longer one man's dream: it has become everyman's imperative, if he is to survive with an identity and a culture of his own.

ENOCH POWELL'S REPATRIATION PLAN

The only rational assumption upon which to answer the question "Was Brixton 1981 an end or a beginning?" is that the crucial cause is increasing and will go on increasing and that this will be perceived more and more clearly by all concerned, if they have not done so already. Having stood already upon the brink of losing civil control in the capital city, the government and the people of this country have to face, if they can and will, the fact that they "have seen nothing yet". It is a national test infinitely more dangerous and more challenging than the Argentine invasion of the Falklands; for it cannot be met by a sudden revulsion of feeling and exertion of national strength.

It can be met only by the admission of realities, and the adoption of policies, which the whole course of our behaviour during the last 25 years has made almost unendurably embarrassing. First we denied the mechanical, numerical facts; and then, when it became impossible to go on doing that any longer, we took refuge in denying the human factor. We allowed ourselves to assert — whether many really believed it or not, is another matter — that by a mixture of persuasion and compulsion the massive displacement of our own people by other peoples in the heart of our country could be rendered acceptable and even imperceptible. It was not merely something absurd and impracticable: it was as insulting and contemptuous — in short, as inhuman — towards those other peoples as it was towards ourselves. That such a transformation as is foreseeable in our heartlands cannot come about without conflict is no disgrace or blame either to those whom or whose parents we permitted to come from their own countries and settle in ours or to ourselves. They and we would be less than human if the consequences were not those which stare us in the face whenever we dare to look.

not come about of its own accord. The necessary motive force and governmental machinery has to be called into existence, especially since so many different departments and agencies would be involved. I have proposed before, and I propose it again, that there should be a Department of Repatriation. If it makes the step any easier to take, let it be a Department of Immigration and Repatriation, which could also take over from the Home Office the administration of the continuing aspects of current and past immigration but do so in a larger and more rational context, embracing the whole scene of employment and development here and in the Commonwealth countries. If it were desired to avoid increasing the total number of departments, it would be logical and more than presentationally beneficial to absorb into the new Department the work of the existing Department of Overseas Development.

Its central task, however, would be to assess and organize all the possible methods by which what has hitherto seemed a curse can be transformed into a blessing for this country and the countries of the Commonwealth. The moment at which Britain may have begun at last to rediscover its personality and its power as a nation is propitious for the British people and their government and political parties to snap out of the fatalistic resignation with which millions now watch their cities and their country sliding towards the abyss disclosed in a vivid glimpse by the events of Brixton 1981. Only those could demur who have a vested interest in ethnic antagonism and in the prospect of future catastrophe. It would not be the first time in our history when, just before it was too late, we proved we could surmount present dangers and past mismanagement and transmute them into the elements of national success.

LET THEIR PEOPLE GO

A greatly concerned CHOICE supporter decided, sometimes with others, to conduct a correspondence with William Whitelaw about ways and means of repatriating those immigrants who wish to leave, and readers may care to take them into account when considering Mr. Powell's extended repatriation scheme.

To:
The Prime Minister
10 Downing Street,
Whitehall, S.W.1

and
The Home Secretary
House of Commons,
Westminster, S.W.1

15th December, 1981

As you are probably aware the 'News of the World' recently conducted a poll among the immigrant communities which showed that a majority wish to return to their homelands and without any special inducement to do so — other than assisted passage or minimal grant.

rights and responsibilities and are entitled to the same respect and consideration.

Yours sincerely,

E. W. Lister

Personal

The Home Secretary,
Home Office,
Queen Ann's Gate,
London SW1H 9AT

29th January, 1982

Dear Home Secretary,

Voluntary Repatriation of Immigrants.

I have received a reply dated 13.1.82 from Mr. E. W. Lister (Home Office) to my letter to you dated 15.12.82 regarding the above matter and in regard to a recent 'News of the World' poll. I regret I find this very unsatisfactory and somewhat evasive.

Mr. Lister states there are 2 schemes to help immigrants return home but to my knowledge little or no publicity is given to these, and the N. of the W. survey plainly indicates that most immigrants know nothing about them.

Would you please inform me what publicity if any is in fact given to these schemes and whether they are advertised in immigrant or other newspapers etc. If not, why not?

Would you also please send me copies of the printed details of the 2 schemes as available to those interested in returning home.

If, as admitted, the findings of the above poll are accurate, there can be no valid reason for not putting them to the test by giving adequate publicity to the schemes mentioned. Only then can you say with certainty that "the survey does not reflect the impression that Ministers have gained from their discussions with the members of the Afro-Caribbean community". With respect, I would say "impressions" are not enough on such an important matter.

Finally I would make only one further comment: If large-scale repatriation is possible by voluntary means it is absurd to say it is not an answer to some of the racial problems which now exist. What is certain is that the "racially harmonious society" to which you aspire shows not the smallest sign of being achieved and certainly hasn't occurred in the USA after 200 to 300 years.

It is unfortunately fairly evident from Mr. Lister's letter that there is no real desire on the part of you or your Ministry to encourage voluntary repatriation or to accept or check the evidence regarding the true wishes of the immigrants themselves. Why this should be so passes all understanding particularly in the case of a Conservative Minister.

Yours sincerely,

J. Taylor

Interracial Marriage is Suicide

RABBI URGES WHITES TO MARRY NEGROES

RABBI ABRAHAM L. FEINBERG
says the one solution to racial conflict
is interracial marriage

FOR SMALL-L liberals — and most Canadians fall into this category — one of the most nagging dilemmas of their creed is the gap between the preaching and the practice of racial equality. We support the civil rights movement in the U.S.; we insist that the color of a man's skin shouldn't deprive him of the right to a cup of coffee or a seat in a theatre; some enthusiasts even picket southern segregationists when they visit Canada, and most Canadians, I think, support the sentiments of such demonstrators.

Yet for all our protestations to the contrary, we seldom act as though all races were equal. Most Canadians who talk equality have seldom been on close terms with a Negro. Many women supporters of the civil rights movement feel a little *uneasy* when a young Negro sits down beside them on a bus. And many of the most enlightened of us would, in all honesty, have to answer "no" when confronted with the old question that segregationists always use to clinch an argument: "Would you want your sister to marry one?"

This "no", this refusal to sanction the mixing of the races, is the final bastion of race hostility. **Until we learn to fight our ingrown fears of sexual relations between the races, the end of the race problem will not be in sight. Only when we no longer raise our eyebrows at the sight of a Negro holding hands with a white girl will the West have begun to tear the racial poison from its vitals. Such a change will in-**

well acknowledge, even the most enlightened of us, that **we will never completely eliminate racial prejudice until we eliminate separate races.**

JEWS! DON'T MIX-'N-MATCH

Intermarriage is suicide — national and personal! There is no surer way to destroy the Jewish people than to marry out of the faith. Unchecked, the present trend of young Jewish boys and girls to choose mates of other or no religious affiliations will **slowly but surely wipe out the unique Jewish identity.** The values and principles which contributed so much to contemporary **culture and civilization will vanish from the face of the earth.** The accumulated experiences of three thousand years, **the rich heritage of a people,** all of which are rightfully yours, are being ignobly ignored and cast aside. What a pity! What a waste! What a shame!

JEWISH IS BEAUTIFUL

Do you realize what you are throwing away? A way of life that has given to the world some of its highest ideals of ethics and morality. A love for learning and education that has produced some of the world's greatest minds. A rock-bound faith that has enabled **an oppressed and persecuted people to endure and preserve its identity** far longer than almost any other nation. Where else, in today's world, can you find such strength, such beauty, such nobility of spirit? What can you hope to gain in exchange for this faith of your fathers? How can you so lightly discard that which your ancestors have so often sacrificed their lives for?

COME, LET US REASON TOGETHER

True, strange winds are blowing

THE REAL BRITAIN

IN A recent article headed "Save REAL Britain before it's too late" in the 'Daily Mail, the author Brian James wrote: **"Britain is a brighter place to live because of the vibrant new life brought by many immigrant groups; a better place to eat because of the stunning variety of produce carried to our markets by importers; a more interesting home to return to because of what travel told us about other nations."**

I think most Englishmen would challenge Mr. James' view of the REAL Britain.

Does he, for instance, know what a multiracial disaster has overtaken Earl's Court in south west London? Or does he notice the filth, the unconcealed male and female prostitution outside the underground station all day long and half the night; the drug addicts lurching through the streets; the low-grade alien humanity that flows incessantly in and out of the bedsits, brothels, holiday apartments, hostels, hotels, speaking some 76 different languages; the shouting, spitting, urinating; the dumping of litter everywhere; the rudeness of the Arabs, arrogance of the blacks, greed of the Asians — does all this degradation really represent the REAL Britain for Mr. James?

Vibrant new life, Mr. James? Does standing eating tasteless food from plastic containers with plastic utensils, washed down with chemically contrived drinks out of tin cans really add up to "stunning variety of produce"?

I suspect Brian James has never known REAL Britain, the fair country that was home to a quiet, courteous, uncorrupt people; where a man's word was his bond, where women were honoured, and where vices were not paraded as virtues; where education and the police forces were universally admired; and where no man feared to speak his mind, or to walk his own street.

All that has vanished and Earl's Court epitomises the multiracial shambles that is the Britain of the 20th century. Beguiled by hedonists and the high priest of miscegenation, all the world has come to Earl's Court: soulless, restless humanity that has lost its roots and its way; for a man is only REAL in the company of his own kind where he belongs naturally.

The other races and nations are as sure of this as we ourselves are, and no one should hesitate to say so. **CHOICE says to our Country Cousins not yet caught up in the multiracial nightmare that is Earl's Court: Do not let this engulf you too. Come deliberately and**

sentiments of such demonstrators.

Yet for all our protestations to the contrary, we seldom act as though all races were equal. Most Canadians who talk equality have seldom been on close terms with a Negro. Many women supporters of the civil rights movement feel a little **uneasy** when a young Negro sits down beside them on a bus. And many of the most enlightened of us would, in all honesty, have to answer "no" when confronted with the old question that segregationists always use to clinch an argument: "Would you want your sister to marry one?"

This "no", this refusal to sanction the mixing of the races, is the final bastion of race hostility. **Until we learn to fight our ingrown fears of sexual relations between the races, the end of the race problem will not be in sight. Only when we no longer raise our eyebrows at the sight of a Negro holding hands with a white girl will the West have begun to tear the racial poison from its vitals. Such a change will involve an inside-out remodelling of the white psyche, for our fear of mixed marriages is deeply rooted. But the change must begin sometime. Why not now?**

Marches and civil-rights demonstrations can dramatize the immediate imperative of justice. Religious teaching can now enunciate the challenge of brotherhood. Education can vitalize, to a degree, the abstract principles of anthropology. Fair-employment laws and other civil rights legislation can protect minorities from overt discrimination.

ONE WORLD, ONE RACE

But legislation cannot change the human heart. The only way we can accomplish that, the only way we can achieve a Final Solution to racial prejudice, is to create a *mélange* of races so universal that no one can preen himself on his racial "purity" or practice the barbarism to safeguard it.

The deliberate encouragement of interracial marriages is the only way to hasten this process. And it may be that time is growing short. The dominance of our world has begun to shift like cargo in a listing vessel, from the white races to the colored. The sooner we adjust to this fact, the better it will be for our children. For we might

accumulated experience of thousands of years, **the rich heritage of a people**, all of which are rightfully yours, are being ignobly ignored and cast aside. What a pity! What a waste! What a shame!

JEWISH IS BEAUTIFUL

Do you realize what you are throwing away? A way of life that has given to the world some of its highest ideals of ethics and morality. A love for learning and education that has produced some of the world's greatest minds. A rock-bound faith that has enabled **an oppressed and persecuted people to endure and preserve its identity** far longer than almost any other nation. Where else, in today's world, can you find such strength, such beauty, such nobility of spirit? What can you hope to gain in exchange for this faith of your fathers? How can you so lightly discard that which your ancestors have so often sacrificed their lives for?

COME, LET US REASON TOGETHER

True, strange winds are blowing these days. Different values, different ideals. You may have troubling questions about Judaism and Jewishness. Perhaps you have not had sufficient opportunity to learn about your heritage, to understand and appreciate the riches it holds in store for you. So let us talk together. After all, this is not a matter for hasty decisions. We know. For over 30 years we have counseled many others with similar problems — and many have thanked us for the guidance we have been able to give them.

Obviously, we force no one to do anything against his will. We shame no one. We merely talk — explaining, illustrating, illuminating . . . and the ultimate decision is yours.

ONE FINAL WORD

If this message affects you directly, please take it to heart. If it applies to a relative or friend, urge him to contact us.

Jews! Be Jewish!

Founded 1941 — a division of
National Committee for Furtherance
of Jewish Education

**CHOICE SAYS: WE FOLLOW THE
JEWISH EXAMPLE AND STAY
RACIALLY PURE!**

education really represent the REAL Britain for Mr. James?

Vibrant new life, Mr. James? Does standing eating tasteless food from plastic containers with plastic utensils, washed down with chemically contrived drinks out of tin cans really add up to "stunning variety of produce"?

I suspect Brian James has never known REAL Britain, the fair country that was home to a quiet, courteous, uncorrupt people; where a man's word was his bond, where women were honoured, and where vices were not paraded as virtues; where education and the police forces were universally admired; and where no man feared to speak his mind, or to walk his own street.

All that has vanished and Earl's Court epitomises the multiracial shambles that is the Britain of the 20th century. Beguiled by hedonists and the high priest of miscegenation, all the world has come to Earl's Court: soulless, restless humanity that has lost its roots and its way; for a man is only REAL in the company of his own kind where he belongs naturally.

The other races and nations are as sure of this as we ourselves are, and no one should hesitate to say so. **CHOICE says to our Country Cousins not yet caught up in the multiracial nightmare that is Earl's Court: Do not let this engulf you too. Come deliberately and take a long, hard look at the ravages of multiracialism in London S.W.5, then stand with and for the white remnants of the REAL Britain and fight as you have never fought before to restore our beloved land to her rightful heirs.**

A VERY UGLY PICTURE

NOTTINGHILL Gate Carnival revellers are not only allowed to rampage through Kensington for the three days and nights of the August Bank Holiday **but the police now say that they are free to go wherever they like. When I remonstrated with them they pointed out that Britain is a free country, to which I replied that for the indigenous population it is most certainly nothing of the sort.**

Thus it was that at 10.15 on Bank Holiday Sunday morning this year an open vanload of West Indians drove noisily through the normally quiet little streets of neighbouring Fulham, blowing whistles loudly as they passed.

In the same area that day a National Front march had once again been banned by William Whitelaw, while another one by Hackney Trades Council protesting against an NF bookstall in the notoriously violent Brick Lane area of London, went ahead as planned, with a carefully orchestrated send-off by Radio LBC before breakfast.

It has become glaringly obvious that politics, and not the welfare of the general public, lies behind these decisions.

We utterly condemn this political partiality by the Home Secretary, aided by senior police officers and extreme leftwing organisations like the Trades Councils working with their local Council supporters, and if this gross unfairness persists and protests are limited

to a degree, the abstract principles of anthropology. Fair-employment laws and other civil rights legislation can protect minorities from overt discrimination.

ONE WORLD, ONE RACE

But legislation cannot change the human heart. The only way we can accomplish that, the only way we can achieve a Final Solution to racial prejudice, is to create a mélange of races so universal that no one can preen himself on his racial "purity" or practise the barbarism to safeguard it.

The deliberate encouragement of interracial marriages is the only way to hasten this process. And it may be that time is growing short. The dominance of our world has begun to shift like cargo in a listing vessel, from the white races to the colored. The sooner we adjust to this fact, the better it will be for our children. For we might

many others with similar problems — and many have thanked us for the guidance we have been able to give them.

Obviously, we force no one to do anything against his will. We shame no one. We merely talk — explaining, illustrating, illuminating . . . and the ultimate decision is yours.

ONE FINAL WORD

If this message affects you directly, please take it to heart. If it applies to a relative or friend, urge him to contact us.

Jews! Be Jewish!

Founded 1941 — a division of
National Committee for Furtherance
of Jewish Education

CHOICE SAYS: WE FOLLOW THE
JEWISH EXAMPLE AND STAY
RACIALLY PURE!

INFRINGING OUR CIVIL RIGHTS

YOU would have been mistaken if you imagined that our Public Libraries had escaped the attention of the multiracial invaders.

They have, in fact, become day-time "squats" for huge numbers of foreign students from everywhere who, we are told, have nowhere else to go and study, colleges and other institutes of learning being full.

The indigenous reader and researcher is, as always, the sufferer. Even if we do manage to find some far-flung corner of a Reference Library, it is no longer silent or orderly. Daily papers are appropriated by the students, who pass them on to one another and leave them in chaos, contrary to Library Rules. Similarly, the Silence Rule is ignored, and it is even possible that a teacher is to be found coaching the students.

The latter arrive when the doors open, laden with school books and other impedimenta. They then proceed to stake an all-day claim to a table and chair, on which they actually leave their possessions in their absence, so it is impossible for you and me ever to sit down and read in peace.

If one complains, no apology is forthcoming; indeed, it is not unheard of to be accused of "racist talk".

This disgraceful situation can, and must, be remedied. It is not right that colleges should be allowed to disgorge their overfull classrooms into our Public Libraries, and deprive us of our civic rights.

Will CHOICE supporters please take stock of their own Public Libraries and report their findings back to us so that, with your backing, we can provide proper evidence of the extent of this illegal practice.

From: *The Daily Telegraph*, 3rd June, 1982

GRAND SLAM ROUTS GANG

By John Weeks, Crime Staff

FIVE middle-aged people routed a gang of four armed black youths who burst into a bridge party at a house at Theydon Bois, near Epping, Essex, it was disclosed yesterday.

The five, most of them in their late 50s but one aged 70, put up such a struggle, lashing out with punches and kicks at the gang, that they panicked and fled empty-handed.

The gang, one armed with a sawn-off shotgun and all wearing stocking masks, burst into the semi-detached house on Tuesday night where four of the five were playing bridge, and demanded money and valuables.

But, surprised by the ferocity of the fightback, they took to their heels. One guest sustained facial injuries but the others were unhurt.

CHOICE says: That's the stuff!!

days and nights of the August Bank Holiday but the police now say that they are free to go wherever they like. When I remonstrated with them they pointed out that Britain is a free country, to which I replied that for the indigenous population it is most certainly nothing of the sort.

Thus it was that at 10.15 on Bank Holiday Sunday morning this year an open vanload of West Indians drove noisily through the normally quiet little streets of neighbouring Fulham, blowing whistles loudly as they passed.

In the same area that day a National Front march had once again been banned by William Whitelaw, while another one by Hackney Trades Council protesting against an NF bookstall in the notoriously violent Brick Lane area of London, went ahead as planned, with a carefully orchestrated send-off by Radio LBC before breakfast.

It has become glaringly obvious that politics, and not the welfare of the general public, lies behind these decisions.

We utterly condemn this political partiality by the Home Secretary, aided by senior police officers and extreme leftwing organisations like the Trades Councils working with their local Council supporters, and if this gross unfairness persists and protests are limited to inverse-racists only, let those in power remember that they have only themselves to blame if they find themselves presiding over civil strife.

It is outrageous that a legally constituted political party like the National Front should be debarred from public assembly in the constituencies by a small number of vicious Council multiracialists who have the ear of rival Party leaders.

There is no question that the issue of multiracialism now poses a powerful threat to genuine democracy (not the variety advocated by Wedgwood Benn); and the increasing jumpiness of those behind the bans imposed upon so-called 'right-wing' demonstrations, is the measure of the growing unease and political bias which is militating against the free expression of opinion in Britain.

On Sunday, 12th September, the 'News of the World', in a two-page report, painted a very ugly picture of what happens in a country when authority bows to lawlessness in black ghettos in a vain attempt at appeasement. There is no question that subversive white elements are providing plenty of political muscle and fire power behind disaffected immigrants from all over the world, who have become revolutionary fodder for the overthrow of this country.

The cowardice and stupidity of those who govern us are beyond belief, and indigenous Britons must — and will — act now. CHOICE SUPPORTERS ARE INVITED TO FURNISH ANY IDEAS ON WHAT SORT OF ACTION THEY PERSONALLY WOULD BE PREPARED TO TAKE IN DEFENCE OF LAW AND ORDER.

From: *Portsmouth News*, March 1982

MIND OUR LANGUAGE — AND PAY THE BILL

BANGLADESHIS in Portsmouth want Hampshire ratepayers to foot a £42,400 bill this year to teach their children their own Bengali language, and provide a sports, social and welfare club for their people. And they say they will need thousands of pounds more each year to keep the scheme going.

Some of the cash would be used to buy minibuses to take the children to week-end language classes at Southsea that now start at 10 a.m. on Saturday and 2.30 p.m. on Sundays — so their parents can “lie in bed longer at weekends.”

Alternatively, they want their own base, paid for by the County Council, instead of the free classrooms provided by Portsmouth City Council, so they can run language sessions when they choose — not when the council says it is most economical to heat the classrooms.

The Bangladeshis say the classes that have just begun at Grove Middle School are so badly timed parents have to get up early to see their children safely to school.

The Bangladesh Welfare Association in Portsmouth, which has 300 members, has asked for a permanent office, with full-time Bangladeshi staff, to help its members cope with the problems of living away from their own country.

It says it also wants cash for sports equipment and social events. The school minibuses could double up to take members to places and events of interest.

Said an association committee members, Mr. B. Zaman (35): “We need to teach our children to read and write in their own language so they enjoy holidays in Bangladesh more, and can study their own religion, literature and arts.

“The present classes, arranged by the city council, are badly timed. Ten a.m. is far too early to expect Bangladeshi parents to get up to see their children off to school. An afternoon class, or a minibus service from their homes, would mean parents could lie in.

“Many work in the restaurant business until late at night, and do not like getting up in the morning. Our children can speak Bengali, their mother tongue, but cannot read or write it. This is important to us.

The plea for county cash followed what the association took to be a refusal from Portsmouth City Council to give it £42,400 this year, with reviewed amounts to follow annually.

From: *Mail on Sunday*, 22/8/82

SORRY, I'LL SAY THAT AGAIN...

CLIFTON Robinson, deputy chairman of the Commission for Racial Equality, pointed out last week that at least 131 languages are now being spoken in this country. They include:

Adangme, Afrikaans, Akan, Albanian, Arabic, Armenian, Asante, Assamese, Assyrian, Buluchi, Bemba, Bengali, Berber, Bulgarian, Burmese, Cambodian, Catalan, Cebuana, Chewa, Chinese, Czech;

Danish, Dari, Dutch, Edo, Efik, Estonian, Ewe, Fang, Fante, Fijian, Finnish, Flemish, French, Fulani, Ga, Gaelic, Galla, German, Greek, Gujarati, Gurma, Hausa, Hebrew, Hindi, Hungarian, Ibo, Icelandic, Ijo, Ilocano, Indonesian, Italian, Japanese;

Kache, Kafir, Kahe, Kanarese, Kikuyu, Konkani, Kono, Korean, Kposo, Kurdish, Kru, Lao, Latvian, Lithuanian, Lozi, Luganda, Macedonian;

Ndebele, Nepali, Norwegian, Nubian, Nyang, Oriya, Pampangan, Punjabi, Romanish, Romany, Rumanian, Runyankore, Russian, Samoan, Serbo-Croat, Shonda, Sindhi, Singhalese, Slovene, Somali, Sotho, Spanish, Swahili, Swedish;

Tagalog, Tamil, Tari, Teluga, Temne, Thai, Tigrinya, Tonga, Tongan, Tswana, Tumbuka, Turkish, Twi, Ukrainian, Urdu, Urhoba, Vietnamese, Welsh, Wolof, Xhosa, Yiddish, Yoruba, Zulu.

Plus, of course, English.

CHOICE wants to know why Byelorussian, Creole, Egyptian, Georgian, Iranian, Maltese, Polish, Portuguese and Yugoslavian were left out! And there are others...

On top of all that, Mr. Robinson (who is West Indian) and his CRE comrades also want children whose mother tongue is English, to be taught immigrant languages like Urdu, Bengali, Gujarati, Greek, Italian and, we suppose, all the other languages listed in the ‘Mail on Sunday’ on 22nd August. Furthermore, they are not to regard them as foreign languages because all 131 of them are spoken by the 131 ethnic minority groups living in the United Kingdom.

The Commission’s report, which advocates an ambitious programme of language-teaching which is to be funded partly by Government and partly by local authorities, claims that “the linguistic diversity of British society has so far been either ignored or viewed as a liability than a national resource.”

The Commission further argues that we have a responsibility to teach the language of minority groups under a draft EEC directive.

TALKING OF LINGUISTICS...

THERE IS, of course, no such word as Nazi in the English language and, if you are old enough, you will remember how we all used to laugh our heads off at Sir Winston Churchill’s deliberate mispronunciation of it during the last war!

So, if today anyone should call you a Nazi, you may be sure you are being addressed by someone who is an ‘ersatz’ Briton (to coin another wellknown German word) which means a makeshift Briton, which is just about what most of these immigrants are, with their dual nationality.

What is more, anyone who accuses every opponent of multiracialism of being a Nazi (or, indeed, a fascist or racist) is himself automatically just another active promoter of Racial Genocide, and a traitor to Britain.

By deliberately confusing patriotism with Nazism, he hopes to defame you, thereby nullifying your work against the ONE WORLD madmen.

Mary Kenny headed her television review of “Anglo Saxon Attitudes” in the Daily Mail of 6/9/82 “The Race to call us Racists” (or Nazis or Fascists!). She could not have found a more linguistically apt turn of phrase for the verbal onslaught apparently intended to unseat those 85% of us who totally reject a multi-racial society for Britain.

In her review of “Anglo Saxon Attitudes” she wrote: “All over Britain any day of the week there are Jews sharing jokes with other Jews at barmitzvahs; there are Irish building workers sharing pints of Guinness together in shebeens; there are Chinese restaurant workers playing mah jong in private Chinese clubs. So if there are English who like to be with other English people in their own country — what’s so strange about that? This is the way human beings are: they feel more at home with the familiar. Anglo Saxon Attitudes defined these feelings, evident in all societies, as ‘Racist’ and seemed to consider that racist feelings are exclusively British...”

So let us agree and assert that ethnic Britons have a perfect right to their preference for their own kind, and that all the linguistic antics in the world neither frighten us nor pull us up short in our tracks.

WHITE PATRIOTISM RULES O.K! must be our retort to all who label us Nazi, Fascist or Racist!

“The fact is that the term ‘black’ is being systematically misused for convenience by the fanatical opponents of the present social system in South Africa. It is also being systematically misused by the fanatical or merely ‘liberal’ proponents of a ‘multiracial society’ in Great Britain. And now another gross misuse of

which has 300 members, has asked for a meeting office, with full-time Bangladeshi staff, to help its members cope with the problems of living away from their own country.

It says it also wants cash for sports equipment and social events. The school minibuses could double up to take members to places and events of interest.

Said an association committee members, Mr. B. Zaman (35): "We need to teach our children to read and write in their own language so they enjoy holidays in Bangladesh more, and can study their own religion, literature and arts.

"The present classes, arranged by the city council, are badly timed. Ten a.m. is far too early to expect Bangladeshi parents to get up to see their children off to school. An afternoon class, or a minibus service from their homes, would mean parents could lie in.

"Many work in the restaurant business until late at night, and do not like getting up in the morning. Our children can speak Bengali, their mother tongue, but cannot read or write it. This is important to us.

The plea for county cash followed what the association took to be a refusal from Portsmouth City Council to give it £42,400 this year, with reviewed amounts to follow annually.

Said Mr. Zaman: "We asked the city council for the money, but they turned us down. Our members are very angry. The city council is extremely mean. We are the largest foreign community in Hampshire and should be given this cash."

OUTSIDE DONATION

The City Secretary (Mr. R. Tweed), said the association had sent a budget for this year's expenses, totalling £42,400 "We did not realize it was asking us to foot the bill. We merely tried, unsuccessfully, to get it an outside donation of £100 or so.

"Had we realized, I do not think there is any way we would have obliged. We cannot afford to give away such sums of money."

A spokesman for Hampshire County Council confirmed the association's budget and request for £42,400 had been received and was "being considered." No decision had yet been made.

"WE DON'T AGREE!"

● I SUPPOSE that in the age in which we live nothing should amaze us. That was how I felt when I read ("The News", March 27) that Bangladeshis in Portsmouth want Hampshire ratepayers to foot a bill of £42,400 to teach their children their own Bengali language.

Having previously been turned down by Portsmouth City Council a spokesman is quoted as saying: "The City Council is extremely mean."

The money is not needed to assist them to integrate into our society, it is to be used for precisely the opposite: to teach their children their own language, and study their own religion, literature, and art.

Plus, of course, English.

CHOICE wants to know why Byelorussian, Creole, Egyptian, Georgian, Iranian, Maltese, Polish, Portuguese and Yugoslavian were left out! And there are others . . .

On top of all that, Mr. Robinson (who is West Indian) and his CRE comrades also want children whose mother tongue is English, to be taught immigrant languages like Urdu, Bengali, Gujarati, Greek, Italian and, we suppose, all the other languages listed in the 'Mail on Sunday' on 22nd August. Furthermore, they are not to regard them as foreign languages because all 131 of them are spoken by the 131 ethnic minority groups living in the United Kingdom.

The Commission's report, which advocates an ambitious programme of language-teaching which is to be funded partly by Government and partly by local authorities, claims that "the linguistic diversity of British society has so far been either ignored or viewed as a liability than a national resource."

The Commission further argues that we have a responsibility to teach the language of minority groups under a draft EEC directive.

All this is well in line with the newly arrived Chairman of CRE, Peter Newsam, straight from the Inner London Education Authority where he was education officer. He is on record as saying that London, where the 131 languages are spoken, represents a 'reservoir' of linguistic skills "unrivalled anywhere else in the world". His hope, it would seem, is that Britain being, as he believes, a multi-racial society, will master the 131 tongues so that none of the other ethnics need ever learn to speak English and will cling resolutely to their own, which are not "linguistic skills" but common-or-garden native languages.

A 'Sun' leader hits the nail dead centre when it asks, under the heading "GET LOST": "Are the officials of the Commission for Racial Equality going off their heads?"

The simple answer is "Yes", and CHOICE echoes the last line of the 'Sun's' leader: "For heaven's sake, get lost!" Or more colloquially: "Get stuffed" — and they'd better add that to their "linguistic skills"!!

★ ★ ★

"In the realm of race, words exist not to express thought but to conceal it. They are loaded instruments of manoeuvre, arranged to hem free thought into a corner, first making some things unsayable and, eventually, unthinkable because saying them has been for so long taboo . . .

Good race relations require confidence that the long period of immigration that has broken up the nation's homogeneity really is ending. Instead, the aboriginals (for want of a better word) are offered controls on whom they employ, constant insistence that "racism" is an exclusively white phenomenon, exhortations to divert more resources to race relations — and committees." RONALD BUTT writing in 'The Times'.

In her review of "Anglo Saxon Attitudes" she wrote: "All over Britain any day of the week there are Jews sharing jokes with other Jews at barmitzvals; there are Irish building workers sharing pints of Guinness together in shebeens; there are Chinese restaurant workers playing mah jong in private Chinese clubs. So if there are English who like to be with other English people in their own country — what's so strange about that? This is the way human beings are: they feel more at home with the familiar. Anglo Saxon Attitudes defined these feelings, evident in all societies, as 'Racist' and seemed to consider that racist feelings are exclusively British . . ."

So let us agree and assert that ethnic Britons have a perfect right to their preference for their own kind, and that all the linguistic antics in the world neither frighten us nor pull us up short in our tracks.

WHITE PATRIOTISM RULES O.K! must be our retort to all who label us Nazi, Fascist or Racist!

"The fact is that the term 'black' is being systematically misused for convenience by the fanatical opponents of the present social system in South Africa. It is also being systematically misused by the fanatical or merely 'liberal' proponents of a 'multiracial society' in Great Britain. And now another gross misuse of language is coming up in this country — if it is not firmly stamped on it will soon become established: the use of the word 'ethnic' to mean 'black' or 'coloured'. I found in a newspaper the other day the corollary of this, the term 'non-ethnic minorities' meaning Greeks, Spaniards, Turks and so on. If there is one bond that unites humanity and every race on earth, it is that we are all ethnic and cannot be otherwise." PETER SIMPLE in the 'Daily Telegraph'.

From: *The Jewish Chronicle*, 10th September, 1982

JUDAISM PLAN FOR ILEA SCHOOLS

By Julian Robinson

THE Inner London Education Authority is likely to introduce Judaism into its religious education syllabus in the near future.

ILEA's schools sub-committee has agreed to convene a conference to reconsider the existing syllabus, following recommendations made by the Standing Advisory Council on Religious Education (SACRE).

According to a SACRE report, the present religious education syllabus, which dates back to 1968, "has a strong Christian emphasis" and does not take sufficient account of the multi-ethnic character of inner London schools.

Last week, Berkshire County Council announced that its new education syllabus would lay down guidelines, ensuring that teaching about Islam, Sikhism, Judaism, Buddhism and Hinduism would supplement lessons in Christianity.

Frances Morrell, the chairman of the ILEA sub-committee, commented: "It is the view of SACRE that the authority's agreed syllabus is in need of revision."

It took three years for ILEA to finalise the present

ling £42,400 "We did not realize it was asking us to foot the bill. We merely tried, unsuccessfully, to get it an outside donation of £100 or so.

"Had we realized, I do not think there is any way we would have obliged. We cannot afford to give away such sums of money."

A spokesman for Hampshire County Council confirmed the association's budget and request for £42,400 had been received and was "being considered." No decision had yet been made.

"WE DON'T AGREE!"

● I SUPPOSE that in the age in which we live nothing should amaze us. That was how I felt when I read ('The News', March 27) that Bangladeshis in Portsmouth want Hampshire ratepayers to foot a bill of £42,400 to teach their children their own Bengali language.

Having previously been turned down by Portsmouth City Council a spokesman is quoted as saying: "The City Council is extremely mean."

The money is not needed to assist them to integrate into our society, it is to be used for precisely the opposite: to teach their children their own language, and study their own religion, literature, and art.

At a time when ratepayers are facing ever-increasing rate demands and receiving a reduced service I urge the County Council to give an unqualified "No" to this demand.—**B. Dale**, Auckland Rd. East, Southsea.

● WITH regard to Bangladeshis wanting Hampshire ratepayers to foot a £42,400 bill to teach their children their own language and provide a sports, social and welfare club for their own people, I'm a firm believer in "When in Rome do as the Romans do."

They should consider themselves privileged to live in this country. On their standing Welsh, Scots, and Irish children should be taught their own language. When our people go to Asian countries they are expected to live by their rules and regulations.

I've lived in Paulsgrove for more than 30 years and we have not got a decent library yet, and our own children have very few amenities. Let us look after our own first. I am not a racist.—**J. Filard (Mrs.)**, Pendennis Road, Paulsgrove, Portsmouth.

● I WAS most annoyed to read ('The News', March 27) that Hampshire County Council is even considering using £42,000 of ratepayers' money to teach the Bangladeshi children to learn their own language.

While I sympathize with these children who are being deprived of the education in their own arts and literature, our own children are also deprived of the education they deserve.—**K. Hayward**, Ernest Road, Bedhampton.

clinging resolutely to their own, which are not "linguistic skills" but common-or-garden native languages.

A 'Sun' leader hits the nail dead centre when it asks, under the heading "GET LOST": "Are the officials of the Commission for Racial Equality going off their heads?"

The simple answer is "Yes", and CHOICE echoes the last line of the 'Sun's' leader: "For heaven's sake, get lost!" Or more colloquially: "Get stuffed" — and they'd better add that to their "linguistic skills"!!

★ ★ ★

"In the realm of race, words exist not to express thought but to conceal it. They are loaded instruments of manoeuvre, arranged to hem free thought into a corner, first making some things unsayable and, eventually, unthinkable because saying them has been for so long taboo. Good race relations require confidence that the long period of immigration that has broken up the nation's homogeneity really is ending. Instead, the aboriginals (for want of a better word) are offered controls on whom they employ, constant insistence that "racism" is an exclusively white phenomenon, exhortations to divert more resources to race relations — and committees." RONALD BUTT writing in 'The Times'.

● I READ with horror that Bangladeshis want the ratepayers to pay out £42,400 for the cost of teaching their children their language and provide a sports, social and welfare club.

May I suggest that they get together, and take it in turns to teach their children themselves a time most convenient to them on a Sunday.

As to a club, we have lived on the Highbury Estate for 50 years. Our children and grandchildren still have nowhere to go for entertainment, so at last we have got together to form a Highbury Community Committee to organize events to raise the funds for our own community centre.

There is nowhere for us to go. We have no buses in the evenings or on Sundays, so folks without cars either have to walk, or like the many elderly on this estate, become housebound.

So it's time for people who choose to live in this country to stop wanting everything for nothing and count their blessings.—**J. M. Reed (Mrs.)**, Hawthorn Crescent, Cosham.

CHOICE says that the Bangladeshi Welfare Association's demands are only one of 10 Portsmouth projects in line for an Urban Aid Grant, and that nothing has been decided yet. Meantime, it is most heartening to see the strong reaction of the indigenous population to the arrogance of the immigrant settlers.

From: *The Jewish Chronicle*, 10th September, 1982

JUDAISM PLAN FOR ILEA SCHOOLS

By Julian Robinson

THE Inner London Education Authority is likely to introduce Judaism into its religious education syllabus in the near future.

ILEA's schools sub-committee has agreed to convene a conference to reconsider the existing syllabus, following recommendations made by the Standing Advisory Council on Religious Education (SACRE).

According to a SACRE report, the present religious education syllabus, which dates back to 1968, "has a strong Christian emphasis" and does not take sufficient account of the multi-ethnic character of inner London schools.

Last week, Berkshire County Council announced that its new education syllabus would lay down guidelines, ensuring that teaching about Islam, Sikhism, Judaism, Buddhism and Hinduism would supplement lessons in Christianity.

Frances Morrell, the chairman of the ILEA sub-committee, commented: "It is the view of SACRE that the authority's agreed syllabus is in need of revision."

It took three years for ILEA to finalise the present syllabus, under the heading, "Learning for Life." However, as the new syllabus will be a shorter and less complex document, it should not take as long to produce.

Clive Lawton, the education officer of the Board of Deputies, told 'London Extra': "There is a trend in education authorities all over the country to cultivate an approach to religious education which takes in world religions.

"In that process, the Board is doing its best to provide accurate and useful information to aid in the training of religious education and teachers."

Mr. Lawton added that while the new syllabus would be desirable to the Jewish community, parents retained the right to withdraw their children from religious education classes, in accordance with the 1944 Education Act. For instance, a parent might consider that a teacher was preaching conversion, and would therefore want to withdraw his child.

The religious denominations represented on the proposed conference will be: Free Church (three representatives), Roman Catholic (two), and Greek Orthodox, Jewish (Clive Lawton), Muslim, Sikh, Hindu, Humanist and Caribbean Pentecostal (one apiece).

CHOICE says: Parents and all those who oppose RACIAL GENOCIDE in Britain MUST NOW unite and challenge subverted churchmen and educationalists who see the classroom as the perfect breeding ground for the rapid advancement of their evil multi-racial schemes.

TO BRITAIN WITH LOVE

T.U.C. "FIGHTING FOR JOBS AND JUSTICE"

WE could not believe our eyes when we read that slogan emblazoned above this year's TUC Annual Conference in Brighton! What **did** they mean? It looked to CHOICE like some sort of sick joke. Had the good trade unionists who thought it up ever stopped to think what they were saying? Had they forgotten that for 25 years the burden of unemployment has borne down increasingly upon the backs of white workers whilst the trade union leadership has sat tight with gaze averted, refusing point blank to challenge a whole series of Governments over the issue of the foreign invasion of cheap labour, which they have welcomed to Britain and allowed to supplant our own workers?

At the Brighton Conference one speaker expostulated volubly about this Government's failure to stem unemployment, calling it pig-headed. But what about the TUC's own pig-headed, irresponsible behaviour? There they sat, mouthing their protests for all the world as though they are in no way to blame for the displacement of hundreds of thousands of white workers by an army of coloureds. Far from standing up to politicians of all parties on behalf of their jobless fellow countrymen, they have jumped with both feet right into the middle of the multiracial conspiracy which, for the last 14 years, has consistently supported positive discrimination in favour of immigrant workers.

In London alone 70% of the staff in the hotel and catering industry are aliens yet no one heard a murmur from the trade union movement or a demand from them that the Department of Employment must cease scattering work permits around the world like confetti and in future make certain that the indigenous jobless ALWAYS have priority in their own country.

Rank and file trade unionists are, of course, not blameless in all this; long ago they ought to have leaned heavily on their leaders not only to call a halt to all immigration but to positive discrimination as well, and they should also have made an uproar about the 1976 Race Act when the contents become known. What a legacy of disaster they are inflicting upon future generations because of their unwillingness to challenge what the TUC has done, and is still doing, in their name.

What sort of leadership is it that sits back and deliberately identifies with multiracialism, at the

If you choose to live in Britain — indeed, if you struggle and strain and plead and beg the immigration authorities to let you into this country — then it is only natural that you should respect the British way of life.—MARY KENNY writing in the 'Daily Mail'.

★ ★ ★

A NASTY SHOCK

WITH some justification CHOICE had believed that Ivor Stanbrook, Conservative MP for Orpington, was opposed to multiracialism.

That was until 4th May, 1982 when he unsuccessfully attempted to introduce a Bill to abolish the Commission for Racial Equality. Then we had a nasty shock for it seems that Mr. Stanbrook has very different ideas.

This is part of what he said when moving the Bill in the House of Commons:—

"This is a time to unite the British people and not to divide them. Britons of all shapes and sizes and colours and origins must stick together. We can do without an institution the sole purpose of which is to emphasize our differences. I believe that the Commission for Racial Equality should be abolished because it does more harm than good to the cause of harmonious race relations. It sets Britons against Britons.

It sets blacks against whites. In the end, the tragedy is that the black citizen suffers most from its activities.

The black citizen is prevented by the commission and its local counterpart the community relations councils, from identifying himself as being as British as the rest of us."

CHOICE says: It is bad enough to have indigenous Members of Parliament who understand so little of what they are doing that they consistently give priority to the welfare and promotion of coloured immigrants without a thought for the social and political havoc they are wreaking upon the nation.

Can you imagine what will happen if the House of Commons is infiltrated by coloured Marxist hardliners like Paul Boateng who is now seeking adoption in

AN UNNECESSARY IRRITANT

CHOICE has always maintained that the Sikh's turban has nothing to do with his religion, and when the Bill exempting Sikh motorcyclists from wearing crash helmets on religious grounds was being debated in Parliament, we wrote to several interested M.P.s saying that it was based on a false premise and should be dropped. Naturally we were rebuffed and it duly became the law of the land.

Recently, as everyone must have noticed, the Commission for Racial Equality, in one of its nasty witch-hunts, took the Headmaster of Park Grove School, Edgbaston, Birmingham, to Court for refusing a place to a Sikh boy who had insisted upon wearing his turban. They alleged that the Headmaster's action amounted to racial discrimination although the school is, sadly, a multiracial one.

The Headmaster was, however, acquitted on grounds which CHOICE believes to be untenable, namely that the Sikhs are a religious group, and not a race.

In fact Sikhs are an Indian minority sect for whom the turban is a means of separate identification. Newly appointed Judge Motta Singh put it this way: "Without my turban, I would be nobody".

Our view was also upheld by Khushwant Singh, Editor of the 'Overseas Hindustan Times', when he recently appeared on television in this country and remarked that "The turban has nothing to do with the Sikh religion."

The moguls of the CRE are, of course, dissatisfied with the judgment and say they will pursue the case in the House of Lords.

Meantime, more Sikh school trouble has blown up, this time at Liverpool's St. Francis Xavier's College, where a Sikh boy has been refused entry because the Governors argue that "it is a Catholic school for Catholic boys, and the local Authority should not ask them to take a boy who is not a Catholic". Surely a perfectly reasonable reply but when race rears its ugly head, all reason flies out of the window and nobody will be surprised at — though he may heartily disagree with — the Education Chairman's reply: "Educationally this boy satisfies all the entrance criteria for the school and, in refusing him a place, they are going against everything they preach about religious unity. If we refuse him admission and the parents appeal, on the current grounds that freedom of choice should

TUC's own pig-headed, irresponsible behaviour? There they sat, mouthing their protests for all the world as though they are in no way to blame for the displacement of hundreds of thousands of white workers by an army of coloureds. Far from standing up to politicians of all parties on behalf of their jobless fellow countrymen, they have jumped with both feet right into the middle of the multiracial conspiracy which, for the last 14 years, has consistently supported positive discrimination in favour of immigrant workers.

In London alone 70% of the staff in the hotel and catering industry are aliens yet no one heard a murmur from the trade union movement or a demand from them that the Department of Employment must cease scattering work permits around the world like confetti and in future make certain that the indigenous jobless ALWAYS have priority in their own country.

Rank and file trade unionists are, of course, not blameless in all this; long ago they ought to have leaned heavily on their leaders not only to call a halt to all immigration but to positive discrimination as well, and they should also have made an uproar about the 1976 Race Act when the contents become known. What a legacy of disaster they are inflicting upon future generations because of their unwillingness to challenge what the TUC has done, and is still doing, in their name.

What sort of leadership is it that sits back and deliberately identifies with multiracialism at the expense of the indigenous worker and then has the nerve to talk about "Fighting for Jobs and Justice"?

It is quite incredible the way all those responsible for unemployment either sidestep the issue or deny the obvious connection with the multiracial invasion. During August this year a representative of stock-brokers Phillips & Drew spoke on the subject over L.B.C. Radio and never once mentioned the immigrant influx. Later that day when CHOICE asked why he had omitted to do so, he said that although there are regular meetings with Departmental colleagues in Whitehall, the Government invariably refuses to reveal the true numbers of aliens in Britain.

Similarly, in a 2-page article in 'The Sunday Times' of 5/9/82 entitled "The Wasting Generation", Peter Wilsher wrote at length about the plight of our one million unemployed young people but there was not one word about the high immigrant birthrate which, over the last 20 years, has so aggravated the problem of finding work for white youth just leaving school or university.

The Commission for Racial Equality is up to its inverse-racist neck in positive discrimination for coloureds, even to putting up a mixed team of jobless young people — unbeknown to employers in south west London — on a week-long series of spot checks, two of them, one white and one black, being actually wired up with radio microphones by the BBC "Brass Tacks" programme, which was subsequently transmitted to prove that discrimination against blacks does exist.

What a disgusting way to work. It is a cause for great regret that the Commission involved decent

"This is a time to unite the British people and not to divide them. Britons of all shapes and sizes and colours and origins must stick together. We can do without an institution the sole purpose of which is to emphasize our differences. I believe that the Commission for Racial Equality should be abolished because it does more harm than good to the cause of harmonious race relations. It sets Britons against Britons.

It sets blacks against whites. In the end, the tragedy is that the black citizen suffers most from its activities.

The black citizen is prevented by the commission and its local counterpart the community relations councils, from identifying himself as being as British as the rest of us."

CHOICE says: It is bad enough to have indigenous Members of Parliament who understand so little of what they are doing that they consistently give priority to the welfare and promotion of coloured immigrants without a thought for the social and political havoc they are wreaking upon the nation.

Can you imagine what will happen if the House of Commons is infiltrated by coloured Marxist hardliners like Paul Boateng who is now seeking adoption in Hemel Hempstead? And even worse, if the rightful inhabitants of these islands are replaced by the offspring of the union of genetically incompatible races, and who, through no fault of their own, will be incapable of maintaining a civilised society?

THE UNACCEPTABLE FACE

When Sir George Young was given responsibility for race relations in the inner cities, there were some angry words from fellow Conservative MPs who rightly held that "The people of England will be appalled."

We were and we still are.

A writer in 'The Sunday Times' was equally appalled at the outburst from Sir George's fellow parliamentarians and lamented that his appointment had not come sooner. "The Home Office," he said, "with its duties in immigration control, has never been much good at making people believe it cares about race relations". True enough, but neither has 'The Sunday Times'!

The writer continued: "The Environment Department has many functions where the ethnic dimension matters," and he prophesied that this "mild, public-spirited, conscientious politician" would work no miracles, at any rate until ministers bring themselves to spend more on the inner cities.

CHOICE would have thought that the sum of £270 million initially given to Sir George, would have shown some willingness on the part of Government to pay for peace in our inner cities but when one hears that the House of Lords Employment Committee

the Sikhs are a religious group, and not a race.

In fact Sikhs are an Indian minority sect for whom the turban is a means of separate identification. Newly appointed Judge Motta Singh put it this way: "Without my turban, I would be nobody".

Our view was also upheld by Khushwant Singh, Editor of the 'Overseas Hindustan Times', when he recently appeared on television in this country and remarked that "The turban has nothing to do with the Sikh religion."

The moguls of the CRE are, of course, dissatisfied with the judgment and say they will pursue the case in the House of Lords.

Meantime, more Sikh school trouble has blown up, this time at Liverpool's St. Francis Xavier's College, where a Sikh boy has been refused entry because the Governors argue that "it is a Catholic school for Catholic boys, and the local Authority should not ask them to take a boy who is not a Catholic". Surely a perfectly reasonable reply but when race rears its ugly head, all reason flies out of the window and nobody will be surprised at — though he may heartily disagree with — the Education Chairman's reply: "Educationally this boy satisfies all the entrance criteria for the school and, in refusing him a place, they are going against everything they preach about religious unity. If we refuse him admission and the parents appeal, on the current grounds that freedom of choice should apply, we would not have a leg to stand on."

They wouldn't? Well! Well! It seems to CHOICE that only fanatical ecumenists and silly Sikhs could possibly make such heavy weather of a case which, to the rest of us, looks admirably clearcut and sensible.

We do wonder, though, what the Courts and their Lordships will eventually make of it, and CHOICE supporters should watch for the next thrilling and costly instalment!

Who knows, perhaps Judge Motta Singh will one day preside over just such an occasion! If so, is he aware that under British Law a judge may say from the Bench, if he sees an improperly dressed barrister, that he notes what the barrister is saying but "I cannot hear you"?

CHOICE believes this newly created Sikh judge should give the matter very careful consideration lest his turban cause complications in a British Court. What, for instance, would happen if a properly dressed barrister found himself addressed from the Bench by an improperly dressed judge?!

After all, plain Motta Singh has voluntarily come to live in Britain: now as a British judge, ought not his wig — his British badge of profession — to identify him in a British Court of Law, rather than his turban?

We are only seeking clarification — as Mr. Justice Motta Singh would say — but it does seem distinctly odd to us that Sikhs who 'are nobodies' without their turbans, do not remain in their own country where turbans are obligatory for good form, and respected as such. Here they are merely an unnecessary irritant.

why he had omitted to do so, he said that although there are regular meetings with Departmental colleagues in Whitehall, the Government invariably refuses to reveal the true numbers of aliens in Britain.

Similarly, in a 2-page article in 'The Sunday Times' of 5/9/82 entitled "The Wasting Generation", Peter Wilsher wrote at length about the plight of our one million unemployed young people but there was not one word about the high immigrant birthrate which, over the last 20 years, has so aggravated the problem of finding work for white youth just leaving school or university.

The Commission for Racial Equality is up to its inverse-racist neck in positive discrimination for coloureds, even to putting up a mixed team of jobless young people — unbeknown to employers in south west London — on a week-long series of spot checks, two of them, one white and one black, being actually wired up with radio microphones by the BBC "Brass Tacks" programme, which was subsequently transmitted to prove that discrimination against blacks does exist.

What a disgusting way to work. It is a cause for great regret that the Commission involved decent young people in such a deplorable exercise and that our national broadcasting corporation actively facilitated the witchhunt.

In the spring of 1982 the Commission submitted a Code of Practice to the Department of Employment after extensive consultations with the TUC, CBI, industrial and professional groups, ethnic minority groups, race relations organisations and individuals, and it should NEVER BE FORGOTTEN that not one of them represents the views of the 85% of whites — and a number of blacks — who totally reject multiracialism. In the circumstances no employer should feel himself bound by that Code, any more than journalists of integrity should regard the NUJ Race Guidelines as binding. What we are now having thrust upon the nation is censorship not only of words but also of actions, and it has got to stop because it is an intolerable intrusion into our lives by traitors who have no interest whatever in racial harmony.

In addition to all that we have Shadow Home Secretary Roy Hattersley's very own plan to force private firms to be placed under a legal obligation (another new Race Act?) to take on what he is pleased to call "a fair number" of ethnic minority workers for new jobs, and we all know how he is itching to bring a lot more Asians into Britain. Presumably his new plan is preparing the way for their arrival, and it looks as though he might soon realise that dream since it is reported that Kenyan Africans, like their brothers in the whole of East Africa, are dispensing with the services of their Asians.

race relations in the inner cities, there were some angry words from fellow Conservative MPs who rightly held that "The people of England will be appalled."

We were and we still are.

A writer in 'The Sunday Times' was equally appalled at the outburst from Sir George's fellow parliamentarians and lamented that his appointment had not come sooner. "The Home Office," he said, "with its duties in immigration control, has never been much good at making people believe it cares about race relations". True enough, but neither has 'The Sunday Times'!

The writer continued: "The Environment Department has many functions where the ethnic dimension matters," and he prophesied that this "mild, public-spirited, conscientious politician" would work no miracles, at any rate until ministers bring themselves to spend more on the inner cities.

CHOICE would have thought that the sum of £270 million initially given to Sir George, would have shown some willingness on the part of Government to pay for peace in our inner cities but when one hears that the House of Lords Employment Committee is asking for £6000 million in order to find work for 1,000,000 jobless over the next 3 years, and SHELTER is similarly seeking £1000 million to house 1,000,000 homeless, perhaps £270 million must be considered a mere drop in the ocean of Government largesse to immigrants.

One thing I think we can promise 'The Sunday Times' and that is that Tories will never be "flocking to vote for the SDP" because of the opposition voiced by certain of their MPs to Sir George's appointment. For opponents of multiracialism it would simply be a case of 'falling out of the frying pan into the fire', and that we have no intention of doing!

Laksman Gadhvi, a Kenyan Asian who came to Britain in 1973, when interviewed in the 'Evening Standard' by an Asian reporter in 1978, said: "We certainly don't intend to live here permanently. It is not possible for us to settle down. Our people are constantly reminding themselves: 'Never forget the lessons of Africa . . . Our people are treating this place (England) the same way as we did Africa'."

It is patently obvious that Laksman Gadhvi and his compatriots feel no loyalty or attachment whatever to Britain, nor have they put down roots here, and we should, therefore, feel no compunction about requesting them to leave. It is no more 'racist' for a natural Briton to comment on Mr. Gadhvi's deplorable remarks, than is his public utterance of them in the first place.

day preside over just such an occasion! If so, is he aware that under British Law a judge may say from the Bench, if he sees an improperly dressed barrister, that he notes what the barrister is saying but "I cannot hear you"?

CHOICE believes this newly created Sikh judge should give the matter very careful consideration lest his turban cause complications in a British Court. What, for instance, would happen if a properly dressed barrister found himself addressed from the Bench by an improperly dressed judge?!

After all, plain Motta Singh has voluntarily come to live in Britain: now as a British judge, ought not his wig — his British badge of profession — to identify him in a British Court of Law, rather than his turban?

We are only seeking clarification — as Mr. Justice Motta Singh would say — but it does seem distinctly odd to us that Sikhs who 'are nobodies' without their turbans, do not remain in their own country where turbans are obligatory for good form, and respected as such. Here they are merely an unnecessary irritant.

MORE ALIEN 'CULTURE' FOR BRITAIN

It was reported recently that a certain Tetta Agustin, described as a heterosexual Filipino woman who adores gays, decided to stage the equivalent of the annual Manila "Miss Gay Philippines" contest in London at the Hammersmith Palais. The pageant, according to 'Gay News', "was one of the most remarkable gay cultural events ever staged in this country."

So, on August 3rd, 1982, Londoners were treated to their first-ever spectacle of 16 gay 'Miss' Universe contestants going through their paces for all the world like Miss Worlds! Attired first in national costume and then in an evening gown, each one paraded before an admiring, cheering audience under the watchful eye of Nancy Miranda, known as the Mother of Gays. 'Miss' Mexico was the winner, and such was the enthusiasm that we are promised another "drag pageant" next year.

So much for the Philippines' cultural contribution to the life of Britain.

★ ★ ★

"White people must be prepared, for a change, to take second place to blacks". PAUL BOATENG at a London teachers' conference on 20th May, 1982. He added that he was concerned about fear, "in particular white people's fear of black people."

MACHINERY OF COMPULSORY RACE-MIXING

From: *Daily Mail*, 27/8/82

LABOUR PLAN TO CUT CURBS ON MIGRANTS

THE Labour Party is preparing changes in immigration laws which would ease restraints against Asian families waiting to join relatives in Britain.

The right of admission to husbands would be restored and dependency tests for elderly parents removed.

New appeal measures would be set up for illegal immigrants. Extra staff would be taken on to clear queues of immigrants waiting in India to enter Britain through the Special Voucher system.

The new measures, due to be published in a statement by Labour's National Executive this weekend, would commit a Labour government to repeal the 1971 Immigration Act and the 1981 British Nationality Act.

CHOICE says: Here we go again with another 'wooing the black voter' exercise: another 'Hattersley Handshake'. In the old days it used to be "If you want a nigger for a neighbour, vote Labour"! Nothing changes, does it?

"MURDEROUS FEUDING"

THE 'Eastern Evening News' reported on 25/6/82: "When the Young Vic bring 'Romeo & Juliet' to the (Norwich) Theatre Royal for the week beginning June 28th we shall be seeing a black Romeo in love with a white Juliet."

Thus did the Young Vic Company climb onto the multiracial bandwagon in a big way with their production of "Romeo & Juliet", the cast of which read like an international directory.

The 'London Standard' claimed that the Polish Director, by making the Capulets a white family and the Montagues black, supplied "a potent background for the murderous feuding which dominates the play."

We in CHOICE utterly condemn this sort of incitement to race hatred which now permeates the whole of our society.

Another shocking example of it is the set of 12 'educational' posters that the Commission for Racial Equality promoted in its journal "Education". Large

A particularly vacuous leading article entitled "Racial indiscrimination" appeared in the 'Oxford Times' of 25/6/82 and we give a few sentences from it:

"Racial intolerance is not only among the most obviously mindless of human defects, but also the most widespread and deep-seated . . . it is fair enough that racial intolerance is a matter of particular concern in Britain, because it is the particular intolerance to which our society is disturbingly prone now that we have such sizeable ethnic minorities of black and brown Britons: many "native white" Britons, to whom the political persuasions and religions of these minorities are of no concern whatsoever, have yet to learn to take the colour of their fellow-citizens with as much disinterest.

So long as this is the sad case, so long will we need a Commission for Racial Equality to protect the coloured from the stupid . . ."

CHOICE would remind the writer of the above nonsense that it was inverse-racist politicians of all parties who initially and apparently quite deliberately singled out the coloured immigrants for every kind of promotion and positive discrimination above everyone else in Britain; so colour preference became and remains to this day a paramount feature of the whole wretched conspiracy to create a multiracial society where it is unwanted and unmandated.

It is hardly surprising that racial intolerance, which is **never** mindless, is rampant in Britain today, and that multiracialism is clearly seen to be the all-time disaster it is. Nowhere on earth does it work to anyone's satisfaction, and the wise man is he who recognizing that fact, rejects the concept as 85% of our people do.

So far as the Commission for Racial Equality is concerned, we need it like we need the plague.

★ ★ ★

"Until recently ethnic minority or race equality considerations have not been an explicit feature in politics with the (Greater London) Council and in their implementation". From a report issued to the Ethnic Minorities Committee of the G.L.C. on "Introducing a Race Equality Dimension to the Decision Making Processes of the Council."

Dear Editor,—Distributed literature through a village today, to new houses built in their hundreds on once agricultural land; **I realised more than ever that the Black Invasion has had far reaching effects, and on our rural areas as well.**

Up until and immediately after the war, this area and this town were very pleasant and very rural, the town being a country market town. But in the last 10 or so years it has changed. **People fleeing from London, from the black areas, have altered everything.**

To start with Huntingdon was declared 'overspill' (nice profit for the Merchant Bankers for the building of same). **Thousands of families unable to get homes in London, were brought up here. With Huntingdon so full, and people still fleeing London, the villages were extended with huge estates.** Out in the country areas with no transport and no industry to speak of and jobs to keep in London, it meant that Father had to have a car. Mother also had to have one, or be stranded at home for the day. Son and daughter needed one each to get to their respective jobs. The result, more motor ways (more money to be borrowed — plus kickbacks and contracts) occupying once agricultural land. Roads chock-a-block with polluting cars, and most on H.P. (more profit to the Merchant Bankers). On the gardens and in the driveways of these ordinary jerry-built houses were at least two cars, and at most four with a possible one more in the garage. The house on mortgage, more money in the pockets of the Merchant Banks.

All in all, the spoiling of our countryside, our market towns and our villages . . . all the result of the Black Invasion. The people living in London would not have needed a car, and I doubt if they would have had one, let alone three or four. The result has been their enslavement to the Merchant Banks. Several hundred cars are daily parked in the Huntingdon Station car-park . . . the expense to the commuter is tremendous, with an annual rail ticket coming to something in the region of £1,000 . . . plus car park fees, petrol, etc., from an outside village.

Of course 'Government Foster Schemes' are bringing black kids, nurseries and town schools into our once all white village.

Your sincerely,
A Choice Supporter

CHOICE ORDER FORM

nigger for a Labour Party. Nothing changes, does it?

"MURDEROUS FEUDING"

THE 'Eastern Evening News' reported on 25/6/82: "When the Young Vic bring 'Romeo & Juliet' to the (Norwich) Theatre Royal for the week beginning June 28th we shall be seeing a black Romeo in love with a white Juliet."

Thus did the Young Vic Company climb onto the multiracial bandwagon in a big way with their production of "Romeo & Juliet", the cast of which read like an international directory.

The 'London Standard' claimed that the Polish Director, by making the Capulets a white family and the Montagues black, supplied "a potent background for the murderous feuding which dominates the play."

We in CHOICE utterly condemn this sort of incitement to race hatred which now permeates the whole of our society.

Another shocking example of it is the set of 12 'educational' posters that the Commission for Racial Equality promoted in its journal "Education". Large and highly coloured, they depict the same "murderous feuding" of British colonial history and black and white schoolchildren are daily confronted on classroom walls by these entirely false representations which can only create unnecessary enmity and racial tension.

There can be no excuse whatever for such calculated incitement and it is one more reason why every responsible parent **must** make a stand and take deliberate action against the perpetrators of multiracial, multicultural instruction which is ruining our educational system.

The word has gone out from the **ONE WORLDERS** that even in our villages where no immigrants have yet been seen, our children are to be multiracially indoctrinated, even to the point of being dragged off to the nearest concentration of blacks for a practical demonstration in how to live in a multiracial, multicultural society.

From: *The Daily Telegraph*, 14th June, 1982

MAKE BLACKS MORE WELCOME, SAY TEACHERS

By Our Education Staff

The National Union of Teachers wants the Government to do more to make black people welcome in Britain, and has advised its 250,000 members not to co-operate with the authorities in implementing the new British Nationality Act.

Teachers should not comply with local authority requests to examine the passports and entry documents of immigrant pupils, the union says.

Nor should they hand over school registers if the

singled out the coloured immigrants for entry and promotion and positive discrimination above everyone else in Britain; so colour preference became and remains to this day a paramount feature of the whole wretched conspiracy to create a multiracial society where it is unwanted and unmandated.

It is hardly surprising that racial intolerance, which is **never** mindless, is rampant in Britain today, and that multiracialism is clearly seen to be the all-time disaster it is. Nowhere on earth does it work to anyone's satisfaction, and the wise man is he who recognizing that fact, rejects the concept as 85% of our people do.

So far as the Commission for Racial Equality is concerned, we need it like we need the plague.

★ ★ ★

"Until recently ethnic minority or race equality considerations have not been an explicit feature in politics with the (Greater London) Council and in their implementation". From a report issued to the Ethnic Minorities Committee of the G.L.C. on "Introducing a Race Equality Dimension to the Decision Making Processes of the Council."

★ ★ ★

From: *Jewish Telegraph*, 25th June, 1982

NOW A WEST INDIAN BOARD OF DEPUTIES?

A LEADING West Indian hopes his community forms its own Board of Deputies. Lord Pitt of Hampstead expressed the wish at a Board lunch when stressing the bond between blacks and Jews who had worked together to fight discrimination.

The first black chairman of the Greater London Council, Lord Pitt pointed out that his community had the machinery for a 'Board' via existing black community councils — probably comparable to Jewish Rep Councils.

During lunch he recalled that on arrival the biggest discrimination was in housing. Jewish landlords had come to the rescue.

Any attack on one racial or ethnic minority is an attack on all minorities. **If the black community loses its freedom, then the same will eventually happen to the Jewish community.**—GREVILLE JANNER, QC, MP, President of the Board of Deputies of British Jews.

★ ★ ★

From: Harry G. Lamborn, M.P.

House of Commons
24 February, 1982
London SW1A 0AA

Dear Mr. E.

Bankers). On the gardens and in the driveways of these ordinary jerry-built houses were at least two cars, and at most four with a possible one more in the garage. The house on mortgage, more money in the pockets of the Merchant Banks.

All in all, the spoiling of our countryside, our market towns and our villages . . . all the result of the Black Invasion. The people living in London would not have needed a car, and I doubt if they would have had one, let alone three or four. The result has been their enslavement to the Merchant Banks. Several hundred cars are daily parked in the Huntingdon Station car-park . . . the expense to the commuter is tremendous, with an annual rail ticket coming to something in the region of £1,000 . . . plus car park fees, petrol, etc., from an outside village.

Of course 'Government Foster Schemes' are bringing black kids, nurseries and town schools into our once all white village.

Your sincerely,
A Choice Supporter

CHOICE ORDER FORM

To: CHOICE, 32a Anselm Road, London, S.W.6

From: NAME

ADDRESS

.....

.....

(Block letters, please)

c/13/82

Please send me the following copies of CHOICE Newspaper, and Fight-back leaflets to help promote the campaign, as indicated.

I enclose a donation of £..... towards campaign costs.

CHOICE FIGHT-BACK LEAFLETS

Number
required

- | | |
|---|--------------------------|
| 1. THE CHOICE FACING ALL OF US:
Race Riots or Repatriation | ☐ |
| 2. STOP N.U.J. CENSORSHIP:
We demand unbiased reporting | ☐ |
| 3. JOBS FOR THE BLACK BOYS | ☐ |
| 4. WHOSE RIGHT TO WORK? | ☐ |
| 5. THE RELIGION RACKET | ☐ |
| 6. HUMAN RIGHTS FOR WHITES | ☐ |
| 7. ARE YOU A PATRIOT OR A
TRAITOR? | ☐ |

system.
The word has gone out from the ONE WORLDERS that even in our villages where no immigrants have yet been seen, our children are to be multiracially indoctrinated, even to the point of being dragged off to the nearest concentration of blacks for a practical demonstration in how to live in a multiracial, multi-cultural society.

From: *The Daily Telegraph*, 14th June, 1982

MAKE BLACKS MORE WELCOME, SAY TEACHERS

By Our Education Staff

The National Union of Teachers wants the Government to do more to make black people welcome in Britain, and has advised its 250,000 members not to co-operate with the authorities in implementing the new British Nationality Act.

Teachers should not comply with local authority requests to examine the passports and entry documents of immigrant pupils, the union says.

Nor should they hand over school registers if the Government tries to use them to establish whether a child has been continuously resident here, the NUT says in its evidence to the Rampton/Swann Committee on the education of ethnic minorities.

CHOICE SAYS: These NUTs do not speak for anyone but themselves!

From: *The Sun*, 14th July, 1982

INVERSE DISCRIMINATION

THE LABOUR council at Lewisham, South London, is advertising for ten new social workers.

It has one condition: The applicants must be of West Indian or African origin.

The council explains that there is a shortage of coloured social workers in its area.

That does not excuse its extraordinary action.

Racial discrimination, whether it is in favour or against any particular group, is equally **WRONG**.

Imagine the furore if any council insisted on a "whites only" rule for its jobs.

The town hall would be shaken to its foundations!

expressed the wish at a Board lunch when stressing the bond between blacks and Jews who had worked together to fight discrimination.

The first black chairman of the Greater London Council, Lord Pitt pointed out that his community had the machinery for a 'Board' via existing black community councils — probably comparable to Jewish Rep Councils.

During lunch he recalled that on arrival the biggest discrimination was in housing. Jewish landlords had come to the rescue.

Any attack on one racial or ethnic minority is an attack on all minorities. **If the black community loses its freedom, then the same will eventually happen to the Jewish community.**—GREVILLE JANNER, QC, MP, President of the Board of Deputies of British Jews.

★ ★ ★

From: Harry G. Lamborn, M.P.

House of Commons
24 February, 1982
London SW1A 0AA

Dear Mr. E.

Thank you for your letter of 12 February 1982.

I find your views quite disgraceful, and assure you of my opposition for all you profess to stand for.

I note that you vote in every Election. **I hope that you have never voted for me.**

Yours faithfully,
Harry G. Lamborn

The above reply was sent to an elderly, articulate and responsible CHOICE supporter whose views were addressed to Mr. Lamborn with courtesy and great concern.

★ ★ ★

A CERTAIN G. R. Parkins of the Home Office, writing on 21st April 1982 to a CHOICE supporter, concluded his letter thus: "... if you feel a particular aspect of the media is behaving in a discriminatory manner, you may wish to consult the Commission of Racial Equality ..."

Apart from not knowing that the styling of the CRE is Commission for Racial Equality, Mr. Parkins appears to be unaware that consultation with an opponent of multiracialism by any branch of the race industry is almost unheard of!

(Block letters, please)

c/13/82

Please send me the following copies of CHOICE Newspaper, and Fight-back leaflets to help promote the campaign, as indicated.

I enclose a donation of £..... towards campaign costs.

CHOICE FIGHT-BACK LEAFLETS

Number
required

1. THE CHOICE FACING ALL OF US:
Race Riots or Repatriation ☐
2. STOP N.U.J. CENSORSHIP:
We demand unbiased reporting ☐
3. JOBS FOR THE BLACK BOYS ☐
4. WHOSE RIGHT TO WORK? ☐
5. THE RELIGION RACKET ☐
6. HUMAN RIGHTS FOR WHITES ☐
7. ARE YOU A PATRIOT OR A
TRAITOR? ☐
8. ROCHDALE'S MUSLIM PROBLEM IS
A NATIONAL PROBLEM ☐

CHOICE NEWSPAPERS

- EDITION 1. OUT OF PRINT
- EDITION 2. A MULTI-COLOURED
SOCIETY? ☐
- EDITION 3. WHAT THE PEOPLE SAY ☐
- EDITIONS 4 & 5. OUT OF PRINT
- EDITION 6. INVASION BY COURTESY
OF PARLIAMENT ☐
- EDITION 7. ALL GUILTY, MY LORD ☐
- EDITION 8. MULTIRACIAL MADNESS ☐
- EDITION 9. RESIST ANTI-WHITE
REVOLUTION ☐
- EDITION 10. RACE IS EVERYTHING ☐
- EDITION 11. NOT BLOODY LIKELY ☐
- EDITION 12. THIS IS WAR, MAN ☐
- EDITION 13. WHITE PATRIOTISM
RULES OK ☐